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#### Abstract

Based on the harmonic balance method (HBM), an approximate solution is determined from the integral expression (i.e., first order differential equation) of some strongly nonlinear oscillators. Usually such an approximate solution is obtained from second order differential equation. The advantage of the new approach is that the solution converges significantly faster than that obtained by the usual HBM as well as other analytical methods. By choosing some well known nonlinear oscillators, it has been verified that an $n$-th $(n \geq 2)$ approximate solution (concern of this article) is very close to $(2 n-1)$-th approximations obtained by usual HBM.
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## Background

The harmonic balance method (HBM) (Mickens 1996; West 1960; Mickens 1984, 1986; Lim and Wu 2003; Lim et al. 2005; Wu et al. 2006; Belendez et al. 2006; Alam et al. 2007; Hu 2006a, b; Lai et al. 2009; Hosen et al. 2012) is a widely used technique for solving strongly nonlinear oscillators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+f(x, \dot{x})=0, \quad[x(0)=a, \dot{x}(0)=0] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x, \dot{x})$ is a nonlinear function, satisfies a condition, $f(-x, \dot{x})=-f(x, \dot{x})$. Multiplying both sides of Eq. (1) by $2 \dot{x}$ and then integrating, Eq. (1) readily becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}^{2}+F(x)=F(a) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d F / d x=f(x)$. In general $f(x)$ is an odd polynomial function. Therefore Eq. (2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\dot{x}^{2}, x^{2}-a^{2}, x^{4}-a^{4}, \ldots\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $f(x)$ is not a simple polynomial function (e.g., pendulum equation, $l \ddot{x}+g \sin x=0$ ), Eq. (3) is valid for amplitude of oscillation, $a<1$. Sometimes the nonlinear function, $f$ depends on both $x$ and $\dot{x}$ (e.g., $\ddot{x}+\left(1+\dot{x}^{2}\right) x=0$ ). In this case, the integral expression of such equations has been taken in the form of Eq. (3).

The modified Lindsted-Poincare method (Cheung et al. 1991; He 2002a, b; Ozis and Yildirim 2007), He's homotopy perturbation method (Belendez et al. 2007a, b; Belendez 2007), iterative method (Mickens 1987a, b, 2005, 2010; Lim and Wu 2002; Lim et al.

[^0]2006; Hu 2006a, b; Guo et al. 2011; Haque et al. 2013), He's energy balance method (He 2002a, b) etc. are also used to investigate nonlinear oscillators. Though, all these analytical methods (Mickens 1984, 1986, 1987a, b, 1996, 2005, 2010; West 1960; Lim and Wu 2002, 2003; Lim et al. 2005, 2006; Wu et al. 2006; Belendez et al. 2006, 2007a, b; Alam et al. 2007; Hu 2006a, b; Lai et al. 2009; Cheung et al. 1991; He 2002a, b; Ozis and Yildirim 2007; Belendez 2007; Guo et al. 2011; Haque et al. 2013) have been developed for handling nonlinear oscillator Eq. (1), they provide almost similar results for a particular approximation. Recently, HBM has been modified by truncating some higher order terms of the algebraic equations of related variables to the solution [see Hosen et al. (2012) for details] and it measures more correct result than the usual HBM solutions (derived in Wu et al. 2006; Belendez et al. 2006; Alam et al. 2007; Hu 2006a, b; Lai et al. 2009) as well as other solutions derived by several analytical methods (Belendez 2007; Belendez et al. 2007b; Mickens 1987a, b, 2005, 2010; Lim and Wu 2002; Lim et al. 2006; Hu 2006a, b; Guo et al. 2011; Haque et al. 2013; He 2002a, b). However for any approximation, the result (even the solution obtained in Hosen et al. (2012)) is not better than the next higher approximation. Moreover, the modification on HBM used in Hosen et al. (2012) is valid for some nonlinear oscillators especially when $f(x)$ contains a term, $x^{3}$. In this article, a new approach (based on the HBM) has been introduced in which the solution rapidly converges toward its exact solution. The trial solution is similar to that of Hosen et al. (2012) and the determination of the related unknowns is also similar. Yet the solution converges faster than the usual solution. Actually an $n$th ( $n \geq 2$ ) approximate solution of Eq. (2) is almost similar to the $(2 n-1)$-th approximation obtained from Eq. (1). To verify this statement, the second and third approximations have been obtained from the integral expressions of some important nonlinear oscillators. The new solutions are respectively close to the third and fifth approximations determined by usual HBM which are agree with the statement.

## Methods

Let us consider a periodic solution in the form (Hosen et al. 2012)

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & a\left(\left(1-u_{1}(a)-u_{2}(a)-\cdots\right) \cos \varphi(a, t)+u_{1}(a) \cos 3 \varphi(a, t)\right. \\
& \left.+u_{2}(a) \cos 5 \varphi(a, t)+\cdots\right), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a$ (amplitude) and $\dot{\varphi}$ (frequency) are constants and initial phase, $\varphi_{0}(a)=0$. This trial solution was early used in Hosen et al. (2012) to solve Eq. (1). In this article, Eq. (4) is used for solving Eq. (2).

Differentiating $x$, squaring and simplifying, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}^{2}= & a_{0}^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi\left(1+4 u_{1}+8 u_{2}+22 u_{1}^{2}+76 u_{1} u_{2}+116 u_{2}^{2}+\left(12 u_{1}+20 u_{2}+24 u_{1}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+148 u_{1} u_{2}+180 u_{2}^{2}\right) \cos 2 \varphi+\left(20 u_{2}+18 u_{1}^{2}+100 u_{1} u_{2}+130 u_{2}^{2}\right) \cos 4 \varphi+\cdots\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have determined an expression for $x^{2}-a^{2}$, as

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{2}-a^{2}= & -a^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi\left(1+4 u_{1}+8 u_{2}-2 u_{1}^{2}-4 u_{1} u_{2}-4 u_{2}^{2}+\left(4 u_{1}+12 u_{2}-4 u_{1} u_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-4 u_{2}^{2}\right) \cos 2 \varphi+\left(4 u_{2}+2 u_{1}^{2}+4 u_{1} u_{2}+2 u_{2}^{2}\right) \cos 4 \varphi+\cdots\right) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

All other expressions $x^{4}-a^{4}, x^{6}-a^{6}, \ldots$ of Eq. (3) have a factor $x^{2}-a^{2}$; so that a common factor $a^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi$ must be cancelled when all these values are substituted in Eq. (3). It is noted that the canceling of the common (i.e., $a^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi$ ) factor makes the solution better than usual solution. Otherwise the solution does not converge fast. It also makes the solution different from that obtained by the energy balance method.

## Examples

## Quintic Duffing oscillator

Let us consider quintic Duffing oscillator, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+x+x^{5}=0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By utilization of initial conditions, $[x(0)=a, \dot{x}(0)=0]$, Eq. (7) readily takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}^{2}+\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)+\left(x^{6}-a^{6}\right) / 3=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has already been mentioned that an analytical solution can be obtained either from Eq. (7) or from Eq. (8). The aim of this article is to find approximate solution from Eq. (8) rather than Eq. (7). A third approximate solution (in which $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are non-zero) has been mainly considered. Sometimes a second approximate solution has been considered to compare it with existing solution obtained by several authors.
Substituting solution Eq. (4) (together with $u_{j}=0, j>2$ ) in Eq. (8), dividing by $a^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi$ and equating the coefficient of Constant, $\cos 2 \varphi$ and $\cos 4 \varphi$, the following nonlinear algebraic equations are obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(1+4 u_{1}+8 u_{2}+22 u_{1}^{2}+76 u_{1} u_{2}+116 u_{2}^{2}\right)-\left(1+4 u_{1}+8 u_{2}-2 u_{1}^{2}-4 u_{1} u_{2}-4 u_{2}^{2}\right)-5 a^{4}\left(1+4 u_{1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+10 u_{2}-5 u_{1}^{2}-18 u_{1} u_{2}-19 u_{2}^{2}+8 u_{1}^{3}-10 u_{1}^{4}+32 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+56 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+36 u_{2}^{3}-40 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}+\cdots\right) / 8=0 \\
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(12 u_{1}+20 u_{2}+24 u_{1}^{2}+148 u_{1} u_{2}+180 u_{2}^{2}\right)-\left(4 u_{1}+12 u_{2}-4 u_{1} u_{2}-4 u_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-a^{4}\left(4+45 u_{1}+123 u_{2}-30 u_{1}^{2}-150 u_{1} u_{2}-180 u_{2}^{2}+20 u_{1}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 12=0 \\
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(20 u_{2}+18 u_{1}^{2}+100 u_{1} u_{2}+130 u_{2}^{2}\right)-\left(4 u_{2}+2 u_{1}^{2}+4 u_{1} u_{2}+2 u_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-a^{4}\left(1+36 u_{1}+132 u_{2}+60 u_{1}^{2}+120 u_{1} u_{2}-160 u_{1}^{3}+225 u_{1}^{4}+\cdots\right) / 24=0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

By elimination of $\dot{\varphi}$ from three equations of Eq. (9), we obtain two equations of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& 24 u_{1}+24 u_{2}+456 u_{1} u_{2}+72 u_{1}^{2}-864 u_{1}^{3}-4320 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+552 u_{2}^{2}-9120 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-7200 u_{2}^{3} \\
& \quad+a^{4}\left(-4+45 u_{1}+27 u_{2}+1440 u_{1} u_{2}+210 u_{1}^{2}-2450 u_{1}^{3}-13050 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+1830 u_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-27060 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-19950 u_{2}^{3}\right) / 4=0,384 u_{1}^{2}+384 u_{2}+2304 u_{1} u_{2}+3072 u_{2}^{2}-11520 u_{1}^{2} u_{2} \\
& \quad-38400 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-57600 u_{2}^{3}+a^{4}\left(-1-36 u_{1}+168 u_{2}+210 u_{1}^{2}+1380 u_{1} u_{2}-160 u_{1}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+2505 u_{2}^{2}-7020 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-26880 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-41060 u_{2}^{3}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are small. So, it is possible to divide the first and second of Eq. (10) respectively by $1+3 u_{1}+19 u_{2}-36 u_{1}^{2}-180 u_{1} u_{2}-380 u_{2}^{2}$ and $1+6 u_{1}+8 u_{2}-30 u_{1}^{2}-100 u_{1} u_{2}-150 u_{2}^{2}$, and then they become

$$
\begin{align*}
& -24 u_{1}-24 u_{2}+72 u_{1} u_{2}-1080 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-96 u_{2}^{2}-5400 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-96 u_{2}^{3} \\
& \quad+a^{4}\left(4-57 u_{1}-103 u_{2}+672 u_{1} u_{2}+105 u_{1}^{2}+83 u_{1}^{3}-4929 u_{1}^{2} u_{2} / 2+1647 u_{2}^{2}\right) / 4=0 \\
& -384 u_{1}^{2}-384 u_{2}+2304 u_{2}^{3}+3072 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+a^{4}\left(1+30 u_{1}-176 u_{2}-360 u_{1}^{2}-464 u_{1} u_{2}-947 u_{2}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the above equations can written as

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}= & \lambda\left(1-\frac{103 u_{2}}{4}+168 u_{1} u_{2}+\frac{105 u_{1}^{2}}{4}+\frac{83 u_{1}^{3}}{4}-\frac{4929 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}}{4}+\frac{1647 u_{2}^{2}}{4}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{19 \lambda}{32}\right) \times\left(-24 u_{2}+72 u_{1} u_{2}-1080 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-96 u_{2}^{2}-5400 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-96 u_{2}^{3}\right) \\
u_{2}= & \left(\frac{\lambda}{16}+\frac{13 \lambda^{2}}{64}+\frac{169 \lambda^{2}}{256}\right)\left(1+30 u_{1}-360 u_{1}^{2}-464 u_{1} u_{2}-947 u_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{384}-\frac{11 \lambda}{384}+\frac{143 \lambda^{2}}{1536}\right) \times\left(-384 u_{1}^{2}+2304 u_{2}^{3}+3072 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda=4 a^{4} /\left(96+57 a^{4}\right)$. It is clear that $\lambda$ is much smaller than 1 for every values of $a$. As $a \rightarrow \infty, \lambda$ becomes $4 / 57$ (which is the largest). Therefore, $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ can be obtained in powers of $\lambda$ of the forms $u_{1}=l_{1} \lambda+l_{2} \lambda^{2}+\cdots$ and $u_{2}=m_{1} \lambda+m_{2} \lambda^{2}+\cdots$ [see Hosen et al. (2012) for details]. Substituting the series of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in Eq. (12) and equating the equal powers of $\lambda$, a set of linear algebraic equations of $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots$, are obtained. Solving these algebraic equations, the unknown constants, $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots$ are determined. Thus $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ become

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\frac{15 \lambda}{16}-\frac{105 \lambda^{2}}{64}+\frac{38865 \lambda^{3}}{2048}-\cdots \\
& u_{2}=\frac{\lambda}{16}+\frac{277 \lambda^{2}}{256}-\frac{1153 \lambda^{3}}{4096}-\cdots \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now substituting these values of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in the first equation of Eq. (9) and then simplifying, the frequency (i.e., $\dot{\varphi}$ ) is obtained. It is noted that the series of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are valid for all values of $a$. For some particular values of $a$, the approximate frequency has been calculated and presented in Table 1. When $a<1$, this approximate solution can be compare with some results obtained by usual HBM. In this case, $\lambda$ can be expanded in powers of $a$ and the series of $\dot{\varphi}^{2}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{3(q)}^{2}=1+\frac{5 a^{4}}{8}-\frac{65 a^{8}}{1536}+\frac{1055 a^{12}}{36864}-\frac{129906 \frac{7}{48} a^{16}}{6291456}+\cdots . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact value of $\dot{\varphi}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{E x(q)}^{2}=1+\frac{5 a^{4}}{8}-\frac{65 a^{8}}{1536}+\frac{1055 a^{12}}{36864}-\frac{129545 a^{16}}{6291456}+\cdots \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\varphi}_{3(q)}^{2}$ and $\dot{\varphi}_{E x(q)}^{2}$ denote respectively, the third approximate frequency by the present method and exact frequency of the Eq. (7).
Comparing Eqs. (14) and (15), it is clear that the first four terms of $\dot{\varphi}_{3(q)}^{2}$ obtained in Eq. (14) are identical to those of its exact result, $\dot{\varphi}_{E x(q)}^{2}$. But the result of $\dot{\varphi}_{3(q)}^{2}$ is different from that of $\dot{\varphi}_{3(q, \text { Usual })}^{2}$ obtained by the usual HBM [see Eq. (39) of Appendix 1: though the solution is obtained from Eq. (7) containing two higher harmonic terms $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ ]. We see that first three terms of $\dot{\varphi}_{3(q, U s u a l)}^{2}$ are identical to its exact result. It is noted that the first four terms of $\dot{\varphi}_{3(q, U s u a l)}^{2}$ would be same those of $\dot{\varphi}_{E x(q)}^{2}$, when the solution is derived from Eq. (7) containing four higher harmonic terms $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$. Certainly, it is a laborious task to determine five unknown $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$ and $\dot{\varphi}^{2}$ for any analytical method.

Table 1 Comparison the approximate frequencies of Eq. (7) between the present method and the usual HBM method with the exact frequency $\dot{\varphi}_{E x}$, obtained by direct numerical integration

| $a$ | $\dot{\varphi}_{E X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\varphi}_{3(q, \text { Usual })} \\ & \operatorname{Er}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{\varphi}_{3}(q$, Present $)$ Er (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 1.0192663 | 1.0192661 | 1.0192663 |
|  |  | 0.00002 | 0.00000 |
| 0.7 | 1.0714295 | 1.0714202 | 1.0714295 |
|  |  | 0.00087 | 0.00000 |
| 1 | 1.26471 | 1.26446 | 1.26469 |
|  |  | 0.020 | 0.002 |
| 2 | 3.16666 | 3.16223 | 3.16639 |
|  |  | 0.140 | 0.008 |
| 3 | 6.80379 | 6.79391 | 6.80382 |
|  |  | 0.145 | 0.000 |
| 4 | 11.9959 | 11.9785 | 11.9963 |
|  |  | 0.145 | 0.003 |
| 5 | 18.7007 | 18.6736 | 18.7014 |
|  |  | 0.145 | 0.003 |
| 10 | 74.6909 | 74.5829 | 74.6941 |
|  |  | 0.145 | 0.004 |
| 50 | 1867.09 | 1864.39 | 1867.17 |
|  |  | 0.145 | 0.004 |
| 100 | 7468.34 | 7457.55 | 7468.66 |
|  |  | 0.145 | 0.004 |

Er (\%) denotes absolute percentage error

## Cubic Duffing oscillator

Let us consider cubic Duffing oscillator, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+x+x^{3}=0 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By utilization of initial conditions, $[x(0)=a, \dot{x}(0)=0]$, Eq. (16) readily takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}^{2}+\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)+\left(x^{4}-a^{4}\right) / 2=0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all we consider a third approximate solution in which $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are non-zero. Substituting solution Eq. (4) in Eq. (17), dividing by $a^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi$ and equating the coefficient of Constant, $\cos 2 \varphi$ and $\cos 4 \varphi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(1+4 u_{1}+8 u_{2}+22 u_{1}^{2}+76 u_{1} u_{2}+116 u_{2}^{2}\right)-\left(1+4 u_{1}+8 u_{2}-2 u_{1}^{2}-4 u_{1} u_{2}-4 u_{2}^{2}\right)-3 a^{2}(1 \\
& \left.\quad+4 u_{1}+28 u_{2} / 3-12 u_{1} u_{2}-4 u_{1}^{2}-12 u_{2}^{2}+4 u_{1}^{3}-2 u_{1}^{4}+12 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-16 u_{1}^{3} u_{2} / 3+\cdots\right) / 4=0, \\
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(12 u_{1}+20 u_{2}+24 u_{1}^{2}+148 u_{1} u_{2}+180 u_{2}^{2}\right)-4\left(u_{1}+3 u_{2}-u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}\right)-a^{2}\left(1+16 u_{1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-6 u_{1}^{2}+2 u_{1}^{4}+44 u_{2}-36 u_{1} u_{2}+24 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-8 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}-42 u_{2}^{2}\right) / 4=0, \\
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(20 u_{2}+18 u_{1}^{2}+100 u_{1} u_{2}+130 u_{2}^{2}\right)-2\left(2 u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 u_{1} u_{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-a^{2}\left(u_{1}+3 u_{1}^{2}-4 u_{1}^{3}+2 u_{1}^{4}+5 u_{2}+6 u_{1} u_{2}-12 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+7 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}+3 u_{2}^{2}\right)=0 . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

By elimination of $\dot{\varphi}$ from three equations of Eq. (18) and then simplifying (discussed in "Quintic Duffing oscillator" section), the following relations of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}= & \mu\left(1-35 u_{2}+27 u_{1}^{2}+194 u_{1} u_{2}+3 u_{2}^{2}-45 u_{1}^{3}-1971 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+245 u_{1}^{4}+15232 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-5451 u_{1}^{5}\right)+(1-23 \mu)\left(-u_{2}+3 u_{1} u_{2}-4 u_{2}^{2}-45 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+315 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}\right) \\
u_{2}= & \left(2 \mu+6 \mu^{2}+18 \mu^{3}+54 \mu^{4}+162 \mu^{5}+486 \mu^{6}+1458 \mu^{7}\right)\left(u_{1}-33 u_{1}^{2} / 2-17 u_{1} u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-69 u_{2}^{2} / 2+125 u_{1}^{3}+294 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-772 u_{1}^{4}-2877 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}+3588 u_{1}^{5}\right)+\left(1-20 \mu-60 \mu^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-180 \mu^{3}-540 \mu^{4}-1620 \mu^{5}-4860 \mu^{6}\right)\left(-u_{1}^{2}+6 u_{1}^{3}+8 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-39 u_{1}^{4}-96 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}+186 u_{1}^{5}\right), \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu=a^{2} /\left(32+23 a^{2}\right)$. It is clear that $\mu$ is much smaller than 1 for every values of $a$. As $a \rightarrow \infty, \mu$ becomes $1 / 23$ (which is the largest). For every values of $a, u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ can be express in terms of $\mu$ (as discuss in "Quintic Duffing oscillator" section) and that are calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\mu-\mu^{2}+19 \mu^{3}-62 \mu^{4}+670 \mu^{5}+1288 \mu^{6}+18981 \mu^{7}+384658 \mu^{8}+\cdots \\
& u_{2}=\mu^{2}-\mu^{3}+45 \mu^{4}-215 \mu^{5}+1004 \mu^{6}-13589 \mu^{7}+7668 \mu^{8}+\cdots \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the values of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ into the first equation Eq. (18), and then simplifying, it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{3(c)}^{2}=1+\frac{3 a^{2}}{4}-\frac{3 a^{4}}{128}+\frac{9 a^{6}}{512}-\frac{1779 a^{8}}{131072}+\frac{5643 a^{10}}{524288}-\frac{146542 \frac{1}{8} a^{12}}{16777216}+\cdots . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact value of $\dot{\varphi}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{E x(c)}^{2}=1+\frac{3 a^{2}}{4}-\frac{3 a^{4}}{128}+\frac{9 a^{6}}{512}-\frac{1779 a^{8}}{131072}+\frac{5643 a^{10}}{524288}-\frac{146661 a^{12}}{16777216}+\cdots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\varphi}_{3(c)}^{2}$ and $\dot{\varphi}_{E x(c)}^{2}$ denote respectively, the third approximate frequency by the present method and exact frequency of the Eq. (16).
We see that first six terms of Eq. (21) are identical to the exact result in Eq. (22), and error occurs slightly in 7th term. It is noted that only the four terms of Eq. (45) [see "Appendix 2 " and also (7-10)] are identical to the exact frequency when a third approximate solution is obtained from original equation Eq. (16). On the contrary, six terms of the fifth approximate solution (obtained by usual HBM) would be identical to its exact result $\dot{\varphi}_{E x(c)}^{2}$. It has already been mentioned that the derivation of a fifth approximate solution is very laborious.

## A strongly nonlinear oscillator containing $\dot{x}^{2}$

Now we consider the nonlinear oscillator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+\left(1+\dot{x}^{2}\right) x=0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By introducing a scaling variable $\varepsilon$, as $x(t)=\sqrt{\varepsilon} y(t), 0<\varepsilon<1$, Eq. (23) can be easily transformed to a weak nonlinear equation, $\ddot{y}+y+\varepsilon y \dot{y}^{2}=0$ and it has a perturbation solution [see Belendez et al. (2007c) for details]. The aim of this article is to obtain another approximate solution. An integral expression of this equation is

$$
\ln \left(1+\dot{x}^{2}\right)+x^{2}=a^{2}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}^{2}=\exp \left(a^{2}-x^{2}\right)-1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $a \leq 1$, $\exp \left(a^{2}-x^{2}\right)$ can be expanded in the Maclaurin series and Eq. (24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}^{2}+\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)-\frac{\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{3}}{6}-\frac{\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{4}}{24}+\frac{\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{5}}{120}-\cdots=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting solution Eq. (4) in Eq. (25), dividing by $a^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi$ and equating the coefficient of Constant, $\cos 2 \varphi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(1+4 u_{1}+22 u_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(1+4 u_{1}-2 u_{1}^{2}\right)-a^{2}\left(1+4 u_{1}+4 u_{1}^{2}+\cdots\right) / 4 \\
& \quad-a^{4}\left(1+4 u_{1}+7 u_{1}^{2}+\cdots\right) / 16-a^{6}\left(5+20 u_{1}+44 u_{1}^{2}+\cdots\right) / 384+\cdots=0, \\
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(12 u_{1}+24 u_{1}^{2}\right)-4 u_{1}+a^{2}\left(1-6 u_{1}^{2}\right) / 4+a^{4}\left(2+3 u_{1}-6 u_{1}^{2}+\cdots\right) / 24  \tag{26}\\
& \quad+a^{6}\left(147+1568 u_{1}-392 u_{1}^{2}+\cdots\right) / 37632+\cdots=0
\end{align*}
$$

By elimination of $\dot{\varphi}$ from two equations of Eq. (26), the equation of $u_{1}$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+a^{4} / 3+5 a^{6} / 64+32 u_{1}+16 a^{2} u_{1}+29 a^{4} u_{1} / 6+224 u_{1}^{2}+88 a^{2} u_{1}^{2}-64 u_{1}^{3}=0 . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient of $u_{1}$ is much greater than the coefficients of $a^{2}, a^{4}, a^{6}, \ldots$, so Eq. (27) can be solved by choosing $u_{1}=l_{1} a^{2}+l_{2} a^{4}+l_{3} a^{6}+\cdots$, where the unknown coefficients, $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, \ldots$, to be determined. Doing all these, the solution becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=-\frac{a^{2}}{32}-\frac{5 a^{4}}{3072}-\frac{3 a^{6}}{8192}-\cdots \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substituting the value of $u_{1}$ in the first equation Eq. (26), the approximate frequency (i.e., $\dot{\varphi}$ ) for small oscillation is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{2}^{2}=1+\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 a^{4}}{128}+\frac{5 a^{6}}{1536}-\cdots \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact value of $\dot{\varphi}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{E x}^{2}=1+\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 a^{4}}{128}+\frac{5 a^{6}}{1536}-\frac{3 a^{8}}{131072}-\frac{91 a^{10}}{2621440}-\frac{293 a^{12}}{150994944} \cdots \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, the third approximate solution of Eq. (23) can be obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=-\frac{a^{2}}{32}-\frac{a^{4}}{256}+\frac{a^{6}}{16384}+\cdots \\
& u_{2}=\frac{7 a^{4}}{3072}+\frac{7 a^{6}}{32768}+\cdots \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{3}^{2}=1+\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 a^{4}}{128}+\frac{5 a^{6}}{1536}-\frac{3 a^{8}}{131072}-\frac{91 a^{10}}{2621440}-\cdots \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing Eqs. (29) and (32) to Eq. (30), it is clear that second and third approximations respectively measure four and six terms in correct figures. On the contrary, the usual HBM is able to respectively measure three and four terms in correct figures (see "Appendix 3"). Thus the statement is true for nonlinear oscillator, Eq. (23) [or, Eq. (24)].
It is noted that the series given in Eq. (31) is converge only for the small amplitudes in the region $a \leq 1$.

## Results and discussions

A new analytical approach based on the HBM has been presented to obtain approximate solutions of some well known nonlinear oscillators. Usually, a harmonic balance solution is obtained from the second order equations. Earlier, He (2002a, b) obtained some approximate solutions (mainly first approximation) for various nonlinear oscillators from corresponding first order differential equations (i.e., energy balance equations). But the new approach (concern of this article) is entirely different from He (2002a, b) technique. In this article, the first order equation is rewritten in such a way that every term is completely divisible by $a^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi$ for the proposed solution Eq. (4) (see "Methods" section). For three well known nonlinear problems, it has been verified that the solutions are better than corresponding solutions obtained by usual HBM. Recently, Hosen et al. (2012) have developed a technique based on the same method (i.e., HBM), but their solutions are significantly improved for the quadratic and cubic Duffing oscillators (see Hosen et al. (2012) details). On the contrary, the solution obtained by the new approach is better than usual harmonic solution even for the quintic Duffing oscillator.
To check the results, we have calculated the approximate frequency of Eq. (7) for some particular values of $a$ (both small and large) by using Eq. (13) into the first Eq. (9) and compared with numerical solution together with other existing solutions (those solutions obtained by Wu et al. 2006; Belendez et al. 2006; Alam et al. 2007; Hu 2006a, b; Lai et al. 2009; Hosen et al. 2012) (see also "Appendix 1") and which is presented in Table 1. The Table 1 indicates that the approximate frequencies obtained by new approach are better than those obtained by usual HBM. Next, for some particular values of $a$ (both small and large), we have calculated the approximate frequency of Eq. (16) by using the Eq. (20) into the first Eq. (18) and compared with numerical solution together with other existing solutions and which is presented in Table 2. The Table 2 indicates that the approximate frequencies give good agreement with the corresponding numerical result and also give better result than those obtained by the other usual HBM. Finally, for some particular values of $a$, we have also calculated the approximate frequency of Eq. (23) by using the Eq. (31) into the first Eq. (26) and compared with numerical solution together with other existing solutions obtained by usual HBM and which is presented in Table 3. The Table 3 indicates that the approximate frequencies give better result than those obtained by the other usual HBM. Moreover, we have determined the approximate periodic solution of Eqs. (7), (16), and (23) for different values of $A$ and those solutions have been presented in Figs. 1a, b, 2a, b, 3a, b. All figures have been included the corresponding numerical solutions obtained by fourth-order Runge-Kutta method.

From these six figures, we see that the present method provides good agreement with the corresponding numerical solution.

Table 2 Comparison the approximate frequencies of Eq. (16) between the present method and truncation HBM Hosen et al. (2012), the usual HBM method with the exact frequency $\dot{\varphi}_{E x}$, obtained by direct numerical integration

| $a$ | $\dot{\varphi}_{E X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\varphi}_{3(c, \text { Usual (trunc)) }} \\ & \text { (Hosen et al. 2012) } \\ & \operatorname{Er}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\varphi}_{3(c, U \text { sual })} \\ & \operatorname{Er~(\% )} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\varphi}_{3(,, \text { Present })} \\ & \operatorname{Er}(\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 1.0891582 | 1.0891582 | 1.0891582 | 1.0891582 |
|  |  | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 0.7 | 1.1676370 | 1.1676370 | 1.1676374 | 1.1676370 |
|  |  | 0.00000 | 0.00004 | 0.00000 |
| 1 | 1.31778 | 1.31778 | 1.31778 | 1.31778 |
|  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 1.97602 | 1.97601 | 1.97607 | 1.97602 |
|  |  | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| 3 | 2.73849 | 2.73847 | 2.73862 | 2.73849 |
|  |  | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 |
| 4 | 3.53924 | 3.53921 | 3.53946 | 3.53926 |
|  |  | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.000 |
| 5 | 4.35746 | 4.35741 | 4.35777 | 4.35748 |
|  |  | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.000 |
| 10 | 8.53359 | 8.53347 | 8.5343 | 8.53363 |
|  |  | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 42.3730 | 42.3724 | 42.3767 | 42.3732 |
|  |  | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.000 |
| 100 | 84.7275 | 84.7262 | 84.7349 | 84.7279 |
|  |  | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.000 |

Er (\%) denotes absolute percentage error

Table 3 Comparison the approximate frequencies of Eq. (23) between the present method and the usual HBM method with the exact frequency $\dot{\varphi}_{E x}$, obtained by direct numerical integration

| $a$ | $\dot{\varphi}_{E x}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\varphi}_{3(q, \text { Usual })} \\ & \operatorname{Er}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\varphi}_{3(q, \text { Pr esent })} \\ & \operatorname{Er}(\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.8 | 1.52154 | 1.52669 | 1.52180 |
|  |  | 0.339 | 0.017 |
| 2.0 | 1.67047 | 1.68325 | 1.67091 |
|  |  | 0.765 | 0.026 |
| 2.2 | 1.84092 | 1.86926 | 1.84103 |
|  |  | 1.540 | 0.006 |
| 2.4 | 2.03064 | 2.0876 | 2.028 |
|  |  | 2.805 | 0.130 |
| 2.6 | 2.236 | 2.34108 | 2.22324 |
|  |  | 4.700 | 0.571 |
| 2.8 | 2.45251 | 2.63242 | 2.41143 |
|  |  | 7.336 | 1.675 |

Furthermore, the first-order approximate frequency obtained by usual harmonic balance method (HBM) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{4-a^{2}}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1 a Comparison of approximate periodic solution of Eq. (7) obtained by present method (denoting by circles) with numerical solution obtained by fourth order Runge-Kutta method (denoted by solid line) for $A=1$. $\mathbf{b}$ Comparison of approximate periodic solution of Eq. (7) obtained by present method (denoting by circles) with numerical solution obtained by fourth order Runge-Kutta method (denoted by solid line) for $A=10$

From Eq. (33), it is observed that the approximate frequency, $\dot{\varphi}$ is undefined at $a=2$. It is a big shortcoming of usual HBM.
On the other hand, the first-order approximate frequency becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}=\sqrt{e^{a^{2} / 2}\left(J_{0}\left(a^{2} / 2\right)-J_{1}\left(a^{2} / 2\right)\right)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to the present method. Here $J_{0}$ and $J_{1}$ are Bessell's functions. From Eq. (34), it is clear that the first approximate frequency is finite for all values of $a$. However, the relative error gradually increases as the amplitude increases.
It has already been mentioned that the series Eq. (31) is mainly converged in the region $a \leq 1$, but the series also gives significant better result for obtaining approximate frequency even the amplitude increases up to $a=2.8$ (see Table 3). On the contrary, the solution is only valid for in the region $a \leq 1$ while the amplitude increases, the solutions are deviated from the numerical solution (see Fig. 3b). Comparing the approximate frequency obtained by usual HBM with the exact approximate frequency determined numerically, it is shown from Table 3 that the relative error of the approximate value is


Fig. 2 a Comparison of approximate periodic solution of Eq. (16) obtained by present method (denoting by circles) with numerical solution obtained by fourth order Runge-Kutta method (denoted by solid line) for $A=1$. $\mathbf{b}$ Comparison of approximate periodic solution of Eq. (16) obtained by present method (denoting by circles) with numerical solution obtained by fourth order Runge-Kutta method (denoted by solid line) for $A=10$
less than $5,8 \%$ for $a<2.6$ and $a<2.8$, respectively while the relative error of the approximate frequency obtained in present method is less than $0.6,2 \%$ for $a<2.6$ and $a<2.8$, respectively. Therefore, the present method is faster than usual HBM.

## Conclusion

Based on HBM, a new technique has been presented for solving a class of nonlinear oscillators. In the case of small values of amplitude, it has been verified that the fourthorder approximate frequency obtained by usual HBM is almost same as the thirdorder approximate frequency obtained by new method. For the case of large values of amplitude, the approximate frequencies obtained by new method not only gives better results than usual HBM but also gives nicely close to their exact results. Therefore, the results obtained in this paper are much better than those obtained by the usual HBM. The method also proved that it is a powerful mathematical tool for solving nonlinear oscillators.


Fig. 3 a Comparison of approximate periodic solution of Eq. (23) obtained by present method (denoting by circles) with numerical solution obtained by fourth order Runge-Kutta method (denoted by solid line) for $A=1$. Comparison of approximate periodic solution of Eq. (23) obtained by present method (denoting by circles) with numerical solution obtained by fourth order Runge-Kutta method (denoted by solid line) for $A=2$
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## Appendix 1

Substituting solution Eq. (4) (together with $u_{j}=0, j>2$ ) in Eq. (7) and equating the coefficient of $\cos \varphi, \cos 3 \varphi$ and $\cos 5 \varphi$, the following nonlinear algebraic equations are obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\varphi}^{2} & \left(-1+u_{1}+u_{2}\right)+\left(1-u_{1}-u_{2}\right)+5 a^{4}\left(2-5 u_{1}-9 u_{2}+12 u_{1}^{2}+32 u_{1} u_{2}+28 u_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-20 u_{1}^{3}+20 u_{1}^{4}-60 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+60 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}-146 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-50 u_{2}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 16=0, \\
& -9 u_{1} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+u_{1}+5 a^{4}\left(1+u_{1}-u_{2}-8 u_{1}^{2}-8 u_{1} u_{2}-2 u_{2}^{2}+20 u_{1}^{3}-25 u_{1}^{4}+30 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+30 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-40 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}+8 u_{2}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 16=0, \\
& -25 u_{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+u_{2}+5 a^{4}\left(5+3 u_{1}+5 u_{2}-8 u_{1}^{2}-28 u_{1} u_{2}-22 u_{2}^{2}+10 u_{1}^{3}-5 u_{1}^{4}+60 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+84 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-64 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}+46 u_{2}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 16=0 . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

By elimination of $\dot{\varphi}$ from three equations of Eq. (35), we obtain two equations of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
- & 8 u_{1}+8 u_{1} u_{2}+8 u_{1}^{2}+5 a^{4}\left(1-18 u_{1}-2 u_{2}+73 u_{1} u_{2}+36 u_{1}^{2}-80 u_{1}^{3}-242 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-u_{2}^{2}-212 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+10 u_{2}^{3}+135 u_{1}^{4}+450 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}\right) / 16=0 \\
& -24 u_{2}+24 u_{1} u_{2}+a^{4}\left(1+14 u_{1}-226 u_{2}-55 u_{1}^{2}+445 u_{1} u_{2}+90 u_{1}^{3}+990 u_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-1020 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-3330 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-3160 u_{2}^{3}-75 u_{1}^{4}+1830 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}\right) / 16=0 \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are small. So, it is possible to divide the first and second of Eq. (36) by $1-u_{1}-u_{2}$ and then they become

$$
\begin{align*}
384 u_{1}= & a^{4}\left(15-225 u_{1}-15 u_{2}+825 u_{1} u_{2}+285 u_{1}^{2}-30 u_{2}^{2}-915 u_{1}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-2520 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-2385 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+120 u_{2}^{3}\right) \\
384 u_{2}= & a^{4}\left(1+15 u_{1}-225 u_{2}+235 u_{1} u_{2}-40 u_{1}^{2}+50 u_{1}^{3}-825 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+765 u_{2}^{2}-2330 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-2395 u_{2}^{3}\right)=0 \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by choosing $\lambda_{0}=16 a^{4} /\left(384+225 a^{4}\right)$, the power series solution of these equations are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\frac{15 \lambda_{0}}{16}-\frac{15 \lambda_{0}^{2}}{256}+\frac{73095 \lambda_{0}^{3}}{4096}-\cdots \\
& u_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{16}+\frac{225 \lambda_{0}^{2}}{256}-\frac{4935 \lambda_{0}^{3}}{4096}-\cdots \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the values of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ into first equation of Eq. (35), and then simplifying, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{3(q, \text { Usual })}^{2}=1+\frac{5 a^{4}}{8}-\frac{65 a^{8}}{1536}+\frac{3485 a^{12}}{131072}-\frac{3953755 a^{16}}{226492416}+\cdots \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\dot{\varphi}_{3(q, \text { Usual })}^{2}$ denotes the third approximate frequency of the Eq. (7) by the usual HBM.

## Appendix 2

Substituting solution Eq. (4) (together with $u_{j}=0, j>2$ ) in Eq. (16) and equating the coefficient of $\cos \varphi, \cos 3 \varphi$ and $\cos 5 \varphi$, the following nonlinear algebraic equations are obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\varphi}^{2} & \left(-1+u_{1}+u_{2}\right)+1-u_{1}-u_{2}+3 a^{2}\left(1-2 u_{1}-3 u_{2}+3 u_{1}^{2}+6 u_{1} u_{2}+5 u_{2}^{2}-2 u_{1}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-4 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-6 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-3 u_{2}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 4=0 \\
& -9 u_{1} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+u_{1}+a^{2}\left(1+3 u_{1}-9 u_{1}^{2}-6 u_{1} u_{2}-3 u_{2}^{2}+8 u_{1}^{3}-25 u_{1}^{4}+6 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+9 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+2 u_{2}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 4=0 \\
& -25 u_{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+u_{2}+3 a^{2}\left(u_{1}+2 u_{2}-u_{1}^{2}-6 u_{1} u_{2}-4 u_{2}^{2}+5 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+5 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+3 u_{2}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 4=0 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

By elimination of $\dot{\varphi}$ from three equations of Eq. (40), we obtain two equations of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ as $8\left(-u_{1}+u_{1} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)+a^{2}\left(1-25 u_{1}-u_{2}+72 u_{1} u_{2}+42 u_{1}^{2}-3 u_{2}^{2}-64 u_{1}^{3}\right.$
$\left.-141 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-117 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+5 u_{2}^{3}+46 u_{1}^{4}+94 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}+\cdots\right) / 4=0$,
$24\left(-u_{2}+u_{1} u_{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)+3 a^{2}\left(u_{1}-23 u_{2}-2 u_{1}^{2}+41 u_{1} u_{2}+u_{1}^{3}\right.$
$\left.+69 u_{2}^{2}-63 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-3330 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-118 u_{2}^{3}-135 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+\cdots\right) / 4=0$.

Dividing the first and second of Eq. (41) by $1-u_{1}-u_{2}$ and they are become

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\mu_{1}\left(1+18 u_{1}^{2}-46 u_{1}^{3}+48 u_{1} u_{2}-3 u_{2}^{2}-75 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-27 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}-\cdots\right), \\
& u_{2}=\mu\left(u-u^{2}+19 u v-45 u^{2} v+46 v^{2}-70 u v^{2}-\cdots\right) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}=a^{2} /\left(32+24 a^{2}\right)$ and $\mu=a^{2} /\left(32+23 a^{2}\right)$.
The algebraic relation between $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\mu /(1-\mu) . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the right hand side of Eq. (43) in powers of $\mu$ and substituting this value of $\mu_{1}$ into the second equation of Eq. (42), it can be solved Eq. (42) in powers of $\mu_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\mu_{1}+18 \mu_{1}^{3}+2 \mu_{1}^{4}+570 \mu_{1}^{5}+129 \mu_{1}^{6}+20642 \mu_{1}^{7}+6296 \mu_{1}^{8}+\cdots \\
& u_{2}=\mu_{1}^{2}+37 \mu_{1}^{4}+4 \mu_{1}^{5}+1545 \mu_{1}^{6}+346 \mu_{1}^{7}+67039 \mu_{1}^{8}+\cdots \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the values of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ into first equation of Eq. (40), and then simplifying, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{3(c, \text { Usual })}^{2}=1+\frac{3 a^{2}}{4}-\frac{3 a^{4}}{128}+\frac{9 a^{6}}{512}-\frac{1773 a^{8}}{131072}+\frac{5589 a^{10}}{524288}-\frac{144008 \frac{1}{2} a^{12}}{16777216}+\cdots \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\dot{\varphi}_{3(c, \text { Usual })}^{2}$ denotes the third approximate frequency of the Eq. (16) by the usual HBM.

Dividing the first equation of Eq. (40) by $1-u_{1}-u_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}^{2}=1+3 a^{2}\left(1-u+2 u^{2}-2 v+3 u v+u^{2} v+3 v^{2}+u^{2} v^{2}+u^{2} v^{3}+3 u^{3} v^{3} \cdots\right) / 4 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third approximate solution measures better result when Eqs. (46) and (44) are truncated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}^{2}=1+3 a^{2}\left(1-u_{1}+2 u_{1}^{2}+u_{1}^{3}-2 u_{2}+2 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+3 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}-2 u_{2}^{2}-2 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-2 u_{2}^{3}-4 u_{2} u_{2}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 4 . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\lambda_{1}\left(1+18 u_{1}^{2}-50 u_{1}^{3}+51 u_{1} u_{2}-58 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}+15 u_{1}^{4} u_{2}+54 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+48 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2}+50 u_{1} u_{2}^{3}+\cdots\right), \\
& v_{1}=\mu_{1}\left(u_{1}-u_{1}^{2}+22 u_{1} u_{2}-50 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+50 u_{2}^{2}-50 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2}+50 u_{2}^{3}+50 u_{1} u_{2}^{3}+50 u_{2}^{4}+100 u_{1} u_{2}^{4}+\cdots\right), \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\mu_{1}$ are given in Eqs. (42), (43).
The power series of Eq. (48) is obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\mu_{1}+18 \mu_{1}^{3}+\mu_{1}^{4}+620 \mu_{1}^{5}+7183 \mu_{1}^{6} / 25+657782 \mu_{1}^{7} / 25+819506 \mu_{1}^{8} / 25+\cdots \\
& u_{2}=\mu_{1}^{2}+40 \mu_{1}^{4}+5 \mu_{1}^{5}+1874 \mu_{1}^{6}+22583 \mu_{1}^{7} / 25+2377007 \mu_{1}^{8} / 25+\cdots \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

## Appendix 3

Substituting the second approximate solution Eq. (4) in Eq. (23) and equating the coefficient of $\cos \varphi, \cos 3 \varphi$, the following nonlinear algebraic equations are obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(-4+4 u_{1}+a^{2}\left(1+2 u_{1}+11 u_{1}^{2}-14 u_{1}^{3}\right)\right) / 4-1-u_{1}=0 \\
& \dot{\varphi}^{2}\left(-36 u_{1}-a^{2}\left(1+5 u_{1}-7 u_{1}^{2}+12 u_{1}^{3}\right)\right) / 4+u_{1}=0 \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

By elimination of $\dot{\varphi}$ from three equations of Eq. (50), we obtain the equation of $u_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+32 u_{1}-5 a^{2} u_{1}-32 u_{1}^{2}+14 a^{2} u_{1}^{2}-8 a^{2} u_{1}^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the above equation can written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=-\left(a^{2}-5 a^{2} u_{1}-32 u_{1}^{2}+14 a^{2} u_{1}^{2}-8 a^{2} u_{1}^{3}+\cdots\right) / 32 . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $u_{1}$ is much smaller than 1 when $a=\mathrm{O}(1)$. In this case Eq. (52) can be solved in powers of $a^{2}$ as $u_{1}=l_{1} a^{2}+l_{2} a^{4}+l_{3} a^{6}+\cdots$, where the unknown coefficients, $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, \ldots$, to be determined.
Thus we have the solution of Eq. (52), as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=-\frac{a^{2}}{32}-\frac{a^{4}}{256}-\frac{13 a^{6}}{163884}-\cdots \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substituting the value of $u_{1}$ in the first equation Eq. (50), the approximate frequency (i.e., $\dot{\varphi}$ ) for small oscillation is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{2(\text { Existing })}^{2}=1+\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 a^{4}}{128}+\frac{9 a^{6}}{2048}+\frac{37 a^{8}}{65536}+\cdots \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\varphi}_{2(\text { Existing })}^{2}$ denotes the second approximate solution of Eq. (23) by the usual HBM.
In a similar way, the third approximate solution of Eq. (23) can be obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=-\frac{a^{2}}{32}-\frac{a^{4}}{256}+\frac{a^{6}}{16384}+\cdots \\
& u_{2}=\frac{7 a^{4}}{3072}+\frac{31 a^{6}}{73728}+\cdots \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{3(\text { Existing })}^{2}=1+\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 a^{4}}{128}+\frac{5 a^{6}}{1536}-\frac{16 \frac{5}{9} a^{6}}{131072}-\cdots \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\varphi}_{3(\text { Existing })}^{2}$ denotes the third approximate solution of Eq. (23) by the usual HBM.
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