RESEARCH

Open Access

CrossMark

ψ -Contraction and (ϕ , φ)-contraction in Menger probabilistic metric space

Pengcheng Ma¹, Jinyu Guan¹, Yanxia Tang¹, Yongchun Xu¹ and Yongfu Su^{1,2*}¹⁰

*Correspondence: tjsuyongfu@163.com ¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou 075000, People's Republic of China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to present the definition of (ϕ, φ) -contractive mapping and to discuss the relation of ψ -contractive mappings and (ϕ, φ) -contractive mappings. Furthermore, the generalized (ϕ, φ) -contraction mapping principle has been proved without the uniqueness condition. Meanwhile, the generalized ψ -contraction mapping principle has been obtained by using an ingenious method.

Keywords: Probabilistic metric spaces, (ϕ, φ) -Contraction, ψ -Contraction, Contraction mapping principle

Introduction and preliminaries

Sometimes, it is found appropriate to assign the average of several measurements as a measure to ascertain the distance between two points. Inspired from this line of thinking, Menger (1942, 1951) introduced the notion of probabilistic metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces. In fact, he replaced the distance function d(x, y) with a distribution function $F_{x,y}: X \times X \to R$ wherein for any number t, the value $F_{x,y}(t)$ describes the probability that the distance between x and y is less than t. In fact the study of such spaces received an impetus with the pioneering work of Schweizer and Sklar (1983). The theory of probabilistic metric spaces is of paramount importance in random functional analysis especially due to its extensive applications in random differential as well as random integral equations (Chang et al. 1994). Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid (1972; Sehgal 1966) established fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces (for short, PM-spaces). Indeed, by using the notion of probabilistic gcontraction, they proved a unique fixed point result, which is an extension of the celebrated Banach contraction principle (Banach 1922). For the interested reader, a comprehensive study of fixed point theory in the probabilistic metric setting can be found in the book of Hadĭić and Pap (2001), see also Van An et al. (2014) for further discussion on generalizations of metric fixed point theory. Recently, Choudhury and Das (2008) gave a generalized unique fixed point theorem by using an altering distance function, which was originally introduced by Khan et al. (1984). For other results in this direction, we refer to Chauhan et al. (2013, 2014a, b, c, d), Choudhury et al. (2008), Choudhury and Das (2009), Ćirić (1975), Gajić and Rakoćević (2007), Mihet (2009), Dutta et al. (2009), Hadzi and Pap (2001), Kutbi et al. (2015). In particular, Dutta et al. (2009) defined nonlinear generalized contractive



© 2016 Ma et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. type mappings involving altering distances (say, ψ -contractive mappings) in Menger PMspaces and proved their theorem for such kind of mappings in the setting of *G*-complete Menger PM-spaces. On contributing to this study, In 2015, Marwan Amin Kutbi et al. weakened the notion of ψ -contractive mapping and establish some fixed point theorems in *G*-complete and *M*-complete Menger PM-spaces, besides discussing some related results and illustrative examples.

Next we shall recall some well-known definitions and results in the theory of probabilistic metric spaces which are used later on in this paper. For more details, we refer the reader to Chauhan et al. (2014a, b), Kutbi et al. (2015), Xu et al. (2015a, b), Chauhan and Pant (2014), Su and Zhang (2014), Su et al. (2015).

Definition 1 A triangular norm (shorter *T*-norm) is a binary operation *T* on [0, 1] which satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) *T* is associative and commutative;
- (b) *T* is continuous;
- (c) T(a, 1) = a for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (d) $T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

The following are the four basic *T*-norms:

$$T_{1}(a, b) = \max(a + b - 1, 0);$$

$$T_{2}(a, b) = a \cdot b;$$

$$T_{3}(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{ab}{a + b - ab}, & ab \neq 0 \\ 0, & ab = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$T_{4}(a, b) = \min(a, b).$$

It is easy to check, the above four *T*-norms have the following relations:

$$T_1(a,b) \le T_2(a,b) \le T_3(a,b) \le T_4(a,b),$$

for any $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2 A function $F(t): (-\infty, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a distance distribution function if it is non-decreasing and left-continuous with $\lim_{t\to -\infty} F(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to +\infty} F(t) = 1$. and F(0) = 0. The set of all distance distribution functions is denoted by D^+ . A special distance distribution function is given by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \le 0, \\ 1, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3 A Menger probabilistic metric space is a triple (*E*, *F*, *T*) where *E* is a nonempty set, *T* is a continuous *t*-norm and *F* is a mapping from $E \times E$ into D^+ such that, if $F_{x,y}$ denotes the value of *F* at the pair (*x*, *y*), the following conditions hold: (MPM-1) $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t)$ if and only if x = y; (MPM-2) $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$ for all $x, y \in E$ and $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$; (MPM-3) $F_{x,y}(t+s) \ge T(F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s))$ for all $x, y, z \in E$ and t > 0, s > 0.

Definition 4 (Kutbi et al. 2015) Let (*E*, *F*, *T*) be a Menger probabilistic metric space.

- (1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *E* is said to converge to $x \in E$ if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_n,x}(\varepsilon) > 1 \lambda$ whenever n > N.
- (2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *E* is called a Cauchy sequence if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_n, x_m}(\varepsilon) > 1 \lambda$, whenever n, m > N.
- (3) (*E*, *F*, *T*) is said to be *M*-complete if each Cauchy sequence in *E* converges to some point in *E*.
- (4) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *E* is called a *G*-Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{x_n,x_{n+m}}(t) = 0$ for any given positive integer *m* and t > 0.
- (5) (*E*, *F*, *T*) is said to be *G*-complete if each *G*-Cauchy sequence is convergent in *E*.

Example Let $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$, n = 1, 2, 3, ... It is easy to show, for any given *m*, that

$$|x_n - x_{n+m}| = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} \frac{1}{i} \le \frac{m}{n+1} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a *G*-Cauchy sequence. But it is not a Cauchy sequence, since x_n does not converge.

Definition 5 (Kutbi et al. 2015) A function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a ϕ -function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0;
- (ii) $\phi(t)$ is strictly increasing and $\phi(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$;
- (iii) $\phi(t)$ is left continuous in $(0, +\infty)$;
- (iv) $\phi(t)$ is continuous at 0.

In the sequel, the class of all ϕ -functions will be denoted by Φ . We denote by Ψ the class of all continuous non-decreasing functions $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi^n(a_n) \to 0$, whenever $a_n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$.

Kutbi et al. (2015) proved the two generalized contraction mapping principles for the following so-called ψ -contractive mapping *T* from a Menger probabilistic metric space (*E*, *F*, *T*) into it-self:

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct))} - 1 \leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(t))} - 1\right), \quad \forall t > 0, \ \forall x, y \in E$$

where $c \in (0, 1)$ and $\psi(t), \phi(t)$ are two functions with the suitable conditions. In socalled *M*-complete Menger probabilistic spaces, they have proved a generalized ψ -contraction mapping principle provided that *F* is triangular:

$$rac{1}{F_{x,y}(t)} - 1 \leq rac{1}{F_{x,z}(t)} - 1 + rac{1}{F_{z,y}(t)} - 1$$

for every $x, y, z \in E$ and each t > 0.

The purpose of this paper is to present the definition of (ϕ, φ) -contractive mapping and to discuss the relation of ψ -contractive mappings and (ϕ, φ) -contractive mappings. Furthermore, the generalized (ϕ, φ) -contraction mapping principle has been proved without the uniqueness condition. Meanwhile, the generalized ψ -contraction mapping principle has been principle has been obtained by using an ingenious method.

The equivalence of (ϕ, ϕ) -contractive and (ϕ, ψ) -contractive

We denote by Ω_1 the class of all continuous non-decreasing functions $\varphi : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0} \varphi(t) = 0$ and $\varphi(1) = 1$. We denote by Ω_2 the class of all continuous non-decreasing functions $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to +\infty} \varphi(t) = +\infty$. Further we give the following definition.

Definition 6 Let (E, F, T) be a Menger probabilistic space and $f : E \to E$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality

$$F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct)) \ge \varphi(F_{x,y}(\phi(t))) \quad \forall x, y \in E, \ \forall t > 0,$$
(1)

where $c \in (0, 1)$, $\phi \in \Phi$, $\varphi \in \Omega_1$. The mapping *f* satisfying condition (1) is called (ϕ, φ) -contractive mapping.

Definition 7 Let (E, F, T) be a Menger probabilistic space and $f : E \to E$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct))} - 1 \le \psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(t))} - 1\right), \quad \forall x, y \in E, \ \forall t > 0,$$

$$(2)$$

where $c \in (0, 1), \phi \in \Phi$, $\psi \in \Omega_2$. The mapping *f* satisfying condition (2) is called (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mapping.

Theorem 8 Let T be a (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mapping, then T is also a (ϕ, φ) -contractive mapping, where

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi\left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right) + 1}, \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

Proof We rewrite the (2) to the following form

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct))} \leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(t))} - 1\right) + 1, \quad \forall x, y \in E, \forall t > 0,$$

which can be rewritten to

$$F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct)) \geq \frac{1}{\psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(t))} - 1\right) + 1}, \quad \forall x, y \in E, \ \forall t > 0.$$

That is

$$F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct)) \geq \varphi(F_{x,y}(\phi(t))) \quad \forall x, y \in E, \ \forall t > 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 9 Let T be a (ϕ, φ) -contractive mapping, then T is also a (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mapping, where

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{t+1}\right)} - 1, \quad 0 \le t < +\infty.$$
(3)

Proof From the (3), we have

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(\frac{1}{t} - 1) + 1}, \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

We rewrite the (1) to the following form

$$F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct)) \geq \frac{1}{\psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(t))} - 1\right) + 1}, \quad \forall x, y \in E, \ \forall t > 0,$$

which can be rewritten to

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct))} \leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(t))} - 1\right) + 1, \quad \forall x, y \in E, \ \forall t > 0.$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(ct))}-1 \leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(t))}-1\right), \quad \forall x,y \in E, \; \forall t>0.$$

This completes the proof.

In this paper, we prove the following contraction mapping principle for the (ϕ, φ) -contractive mappings in a *G*-complete Menger probabilistic space. Meanwhile, we do not need to add the uniqueness condition of fixed point (see Kutbi et al. 2015).

Theorem 10 Let (E, F, T) be a G-complete Menger probabilistic space and $f : E \to E$ be a (ϕ, φ) -contractive mapping. Assume that $\lim_{a_n \to 1} \varphi^n(a_n) = 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof For any $x_0 \in E$, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \ge 0$. From (1) and the properties of ϕ and φ we know, for all t > 0, that

$$F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(t)) \ge \varphi\left(F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}\left(\phi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right)\right) \ge \varphi\left(\varphi\left(F_{x_{n-1},x_{n-2}}\left(\phi\left(\frac{t}{c^2}\right)\right)\right)\right)$$
$$\ge \varphi^3\left(F_{x_{n-2},x_{n-3}}\left(\phi\left(\frac{t}{c^3}\right)\right)\right) \ge \dots \ge \varphi^n\left(F_{x_1,x_0}\left(\phi\left(\frac{t}{c^n}\right)\right)\right) \to 1$$
(4)

as $n \to \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, then by using the properties (i) and (iv) of a function ϕ we can find t > 0 such that $\varepsilon > \phi(t)$. It follows from (4) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, x_n}(\varepsilon) = 1.$$
⁽⁵⁾

By using the triangle inequality (MPM-3), we obtain

$$F_{x_n,x_{n+p}}(\varepsilon) \geq T\left(F_{x_n,x_{n+1}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right), T\left(F_{x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right), \ldots, \left(F_{x_{n+p-1},x_{n+p}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right)\right) \cdots\right)\right).$$

Thus, letting $n \to \infty$ and making use of (5), for any integer *p*, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}F_{x_{n+p},x_n}(\varepsilon)=1,\quad\forall\varepsilon>0.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a *G*-Cauchy sequence. Since (E, F, T) is *G*-complete, there exists a point $u \in E$ such that $x_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\phi(t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} F_{fu,u}(\varepsilon) &\geq T\left(F_{fu,x_{n+1}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right), F_{x_{n+1},u}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right), \\ &\geq T\left(F_{fu,x_{n+1}}(\phi(t)), F_{x_{n+1},u}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right), \\ &\geq T\left(F_{u,x_{n}}\left(\phi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), F_{x_{n+1},u}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right) \to 1, \end{split}$$

as $n \to \infty$, which in turn yields that fu = u. Next we show the uniqueness of the fixed point. If there exists *v* such that fv = v, by using (3) we have

$$F_{u,v}(\phi(t)) = F_{fu,fv}(\phi(t)) \ge \varphi\left(F_{u,v}\left(\phi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right)\right) \ge \dots \ge \varphi^n\left(F_{u,v}\left(\phi\left(\frac{t}{c^n}\right)\right)\right) \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$. It is easy to see u = v. The proof is completed.

Kutbi et al. (2015) proved the following fixed point theorem for the (ϕ , ψ)-contractive mappings in a *G*-complete Menger probabilistic space. Meanwhile, they need to add the uniqueness condition of fixed point (see Xu et al. 2015). In order to clearly show the content of theorem, we use a clear form to write this theorem.

Theorem 11 (Kutbi et al. 2015) Let (E, F, T) be a G-complete Menger probabilistic space and $f : E \to E$ be a (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mapping. Assume that $\lim_{a_n\to 0} \psi^n(a_n) = 0$. Then f has a fixed point.

In order to get the uniqueness of fixed point, authors added the following condition:

$$F_{u,\nu}(0) = 0, \quad \forall u, \nu \in F(f), \tag{*}$$

where F(f) denotes the set of all fixed points of a mapping f.

Theorem 12 (Kutbi et al. 2015) *Adding condition* (*) *to the hypotheses of Theorem* 11, *we obtain uniqueness of the fixed point.*

By using Theorem 10, we can get the following contraction mapping principle for the (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mappings in a *G*-complete Menger probabilistic space.

Theorem 13 Let (E, F, T) be a G-complete Menger probabilistic space and $f : E \to E$ be a (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mapping. Assume that $\lim_{a_n\to 1} \varphi^n(a_n) = 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point, where

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(\frac{1}{t} - 1) + 1}, \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

Proof From Theorem 8, we know that, *T* is also a (ϕ, φ) -contractive mapping, where

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(\frac{1}{t} - 1) + 1}, \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

Since $\lim_{a_n \to 1} \varphi^n(a_n) = 1$, by using Theorem 8, we obtain the conclusion. This completes the proof.

Open question 14 Is the following property right?

$$\lim_{b_n \to 1} \varphi^n(b_n) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{a_n \to 0} \psi^n(a_n) = 0,$$
(6)

where

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(\frac{1}{t} - 1) + 1}, \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

If the property (6) is right, then we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 15 Let (E, F, T) be a G-complete Menger probabilistic space and $f : E \to E$ be a (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mapping. Assume that $\lim_{a_n\to 0} \psi^n(a_n) = 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Conclusion 16 The property (6) is right. Therefore Theorem 15 holds.

Proof It is not hard to show that, the property (6) is equivalent to the following proposition

$$\lim_{b_n \to 1} \varphi^n(b_n) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{a_n \to 0} \psi^n(a_n) = 0, \tag{7}$$

where $a_n = \frac{1}{b_n} - 1$ and

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(\frac{1}{t} - 1) + 1}, \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

Next, we prove (7). Let

$$B(t) = \frac{1}{1+t}, \quad A(t) = \frac{1}{t} - 1, \quad 0 < t \le 1,$$

then we have

$$B^{-1} = A, \quad A^{-1} = B,$$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{b_n} - 1 = A(b_n), \quad b_n = \frac{1}{a_n + 1} = B(a_n),$$

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(\frac{1}{t} - 1) + 1} = \frac{1}{\psi(A(t)) + 1} = B\psi A(t), \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

$$\psi(t) = A\varphi B(t), \quad 0 \le t < +\infty.$$

Now we prove

$$\lim_{b_n\to 1}\varphi^n(b_n)=1\Rightarrow \lim_{a_n\to 0}\psi^n(a_n)=0.$$

Observe

$$\psi^{n}(a_{n}) = \psi^{n-1}\psi(a_{n})$$

$$= \psi^{n-1}A\varphi B(a_{n})$$

$$= \psi^{n-2}A\varphi BA\varphi B(a_{n})$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= (A\varphi B)^{n}(a_{n})$$

$$= (A\varphi B)^{n}A(b_{n})$$

$$= A\varphi^{n}(b_{n}).$$

Because $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi^n(b_n) = 1$ and $\lim_{t\to 1} A(t) = 0$, we have $\lim_{a_n\to 0} \psi^n(a_n) = 0$.

Now we prove

$$\lim_{b_n \to 1} \varphi^n(b_n) = 1 \Leftarrow \lim_{a_n \to 0} \psi^n(a_n) = 0.$$

Observe

$$\varphi^{n}(b_{n}) = \varphi^{n-1}\varphi(b_{n})$$

$$= \varphi^{n-1}B\psi A(b_{n})$$

$$= \varphi^{n-2}(B\psi A)^{2}(b_{n})$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= (B\varphi A)^{n}(b_{n})$$

$$= (B\varphi A)^{n}B(a_{n})$$

$$= B\psi^{n}(a_{n})$$

Because $\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi^n(a_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to 0} B(t) = 1$, we have $\lim_{b_n\to 1} \varphi^n(b_n) = 1$. This completes the proof.

Examples

Theorem 17 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f : X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le 2c^2 d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$
(8)

where $c \in (0, 1)$ is a constant. Let

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}, & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \le 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then

- (1) (X, F, T_4) is a Menger probabilistic metric space;
- (2) *T* is a (ϕ, φ) -contractive mapping, where $\phi(t) = \varphi(t) = t^2$;
- (3) *T* is also a (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mapping, where $\phi(t) = t^2, \psi(t) = t^2 + 2t$.

Proof (1) We prove (X, F, T_4) is a Menger probabilistic metric space. The conditions (MPM-1) and (MPM-2) obviously hold. We prove the condition (MPM-3). For any $x, y, z \in X$ and t > 0, s > 0, we claim that

$$\frac{t+s}{(t+s)+d(x,y)} \ge \min\left\{\frac{t}{t+d(x,z)}, \quad \frac{s}{s+d(z,y)}\right\}.$$

If not, we have

$$\frac{t+s}{(t+s)+d(x,y)} < \frac{t}{t+d(x,z)},$$
$$\frac{t+s}{(t+s)+d(x,y)} < \frac{s}{s+d(z,y)}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &(t+s)(t+d(x,z)) < t((t+s)+d(x,y)), \\ &(t+s)(s+d(z,y)) < s((t+s)+d(x,y)). \end{aligned}$$

Adding the above two inequalities, we get

$$(t+s)(d(x,z) + d(z,y)) < (t+s)d(x,y)$$

which implies

$$d(x,z) + d(z,y) < d(x,y).$$

This is a contradiction which implies the condition (MPM-3) holds.

(2) From (8) we have

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le (2c^2 + \frac{c^2}{t^2}d(x, y))d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), f(y)) &\leq \frac{1}{t^2} \Big(2c^2t^2 + c^2d(x, y) \Big) d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0, \\ t^2 d(f(x), f(y)) &\leq (2c^2t^2 + c^2d(x, y))d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0, \\ c^2t^4 + t^2 d(f(x), f(y)) &\leq c^2t^4 + (2c^2t^2 + c^2d(x, y))d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0, \\ t^2(c^2t^2 + d(f(x), f(y))) &\leq c^2(t^4 + 2t^2d(x, y) + d^2(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0, \\ t^2(c^2t^2 + d(f(x), f(y))) &\leq c^2(t^2 + d(x, y))^2, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{t^4}{(t^2 + d(x, y))^2} &\leq \frac{c^2t^2}{c^2t^2 + d(f(x), f(y))}, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0, \\ \left(\frac{t^2}{t^2 + d(x, y)}\right)^2 &\leq \frac{c^2t^2}{c^2t^2 + d(f(x), f(y))}, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0. \end{aligned}$$
(9)

We rewrite inequality (9) to the following form

$$F_{f(x),f(y)}((ct)^2) \ge (F_{x,y}(t^2))^2, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0.$$

That is,

$$F_{f(x),f(y)}(\phi(ct)) \ge \varphi(F_{x,y}(\phi(t)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0,$$

where $\phi(t) = t^2$, $\varphi(t) = t^2$.

(3) By using Theorem 9, we know that, *T* is also a (ϕ , ψ)-contractive mapping with

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{\varphi(\frac{1}{t+1})} - 1, \quad 0 \le t < +\infty.$$

That is $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{t+1})^2} - 1 = t^2 + t$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 18 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f : X \to X$ be a nonexpansive mapping. Let

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}, & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \le 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then

(1) (X, F, T_4) is a Menger probabilistic metric space;

(2) T is $a(\phi, \varphi)$ -contractive mapping, where $\phi(t) = t^2, \varphi(t) = \frac{(1+t)t}{2};$ (3) T is also $a(\phi, \psi)$ -contractive mapping, where $\phi(t) = t^2, \quad \psi(t) = \frac{2t^2+3t}{2+t}.$

Proof (1) It is a conclusion of Theorem 17. (2) Since *T* is nonexpansive, let $c \in (0, 1)$ be a constant such that $3c^2 \ge 2$, we have

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le \frac{3c^2t^2 + d(x, y)}{2t^2 + d(x, y)}d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad t > 0,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (2t^{2} + d(x,y))d(f(x),f(y)) &\leq (3c^{2}t^{2} + d(x,y))d(x,y), \quad \forall x,y \in X, t > 0. \\ 2c^{2}t^{4} + 2t^{2}d(f(x),f(y)) + c^{2}t^{2}d(x,y) + d(f(x),f(y))d(x,y) \\ &\leq 2c^{2}t^{4} + 4c^{2}t^{2}d(x,y) + (d(x,y))^{2}, \quad \forall x,y \in X, t > 0. \\ (c^{2}t^{2} + d(f(x),f(y)))(2t^{2} + d(x,y)) \\ &\leq 2c^{2}t^{4} + 4c^{2}t^{2}d(x,y) + (d(x,y))^{2}, \quad \forall x,y \in X, t > 0. \\ \frac{2t^{2} + d(x,y)}{(t^{2} + d(x,y))^{2}} &\leq \frac{2c^{2}}{c^{2}t^{2} + d(f(x),f(y))}, \quad \forall x,y \in X, t > 0. \\ \left(1 + \frac{t^{2}}{t^{2} + d(x,y)}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{t^{2} + d(x,y)} &\leq \frac{2c^{2}t^{2}}{c^{2}t^{2} + d(f(x),f(y))}, \quad \forall x,y \in X, t > 0. \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{t^{2}}{t^{2} + d(x,y)}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{t^{2} + d(x,y)} &\leq \frac{c^{2}t^{2}}{c^{2}t^{2} + d(f(x),f(y))}, \quad \forall x,y \in X, t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite inequality (10) to the following form

$$F_{f(x),f(y)}((ct)^2) \ge \frac{1 + F_{x,y}(t^2)}{2} F_{x,y}(t^2), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0.$$

That is,

$$F_{f(x),f(y)}(\phi(ct)) \geq \varphi(F_{x,y}(\phi(t)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0.$$

where $\phi(t) = t^2$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{(1+t)t}{2}$. (3) By using Theorem 9, we know that, *T* is also a (ϕ, ψ) -contractive mapping with

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{\varphi(\frac{1}{t+1})} - 1, \quad 0 \le t < +\infty.$$

That is,

$$\psi(t) = \frac{2}{(1+\frac{1}{1+t})\frac{1}{1+t}} - 1$$
$$= \frac{2(1+t)^2}{2+t} - 1$$
$$= \frac{2t^2 + 3t}{2+t}.$$

This completes the proof.

Authors' contributions

PM, JG, YT, YX and YS authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou 075000, People's Republic of China. ² Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300387, People's Republic of China.

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by the major project of Hebei North University under Grant No. ZD201304.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 20 November 2015 Accepted: 12 February 2016 Published online: 29 February 2016

References

Banach S (1922) Sur les oprations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intgrales. Fundam Math 3:133–181

Chang S, Cho Y, Kang S (1994) Probabilitic metric spaces and nonlinear operator theory. Sichuan University Press, Sichuan Chauhan S, Pant BD (2014) Fixed point theorems for compatible and subsequentially continuous mappings in Menger spaces. J Nonlinear Sci Appl 7(2):78–89

Chauhan S, Bhatnagar S, Radenović S (2013) Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. Matematiche 68:87–98

Chauhan S, Dalal S, Sintunavarat W, Vujaković J (2014a) Common property (E.A) and existence of fixed points in Menger spaces. J Inequal Appl 2014:56

Chauhan S, Imdad M, Vetro C, Sintunavarat W (2014b) Hybrid coincidence and common fixed point theorems in Menger probabilistic metric spaces under a strict contractive condition with an application. Appl Math Comput 239:422–433

Chauhan S, Radenović S, Imdad M, Vetro C (2014c) Some integral type fixed point theorems in non-Archimedean Menger PM-spaces with common property (E.A) and application of functional equations in dynamic programming. Rev R Acad Cienc Exactas Fis Nat Ser A Mat 108:795–810

Chauhan S, Shatanawi W, Kumar S, Radenović S (2014d) Existence and uniqueness of fixed points in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. J Nonlinear Sci Appl 7:28–41

Choudhury BS, Das KP (2008) A new contraction principle in Menger spaces. Acta Math Sin Engl Ser 24:1379–1386 Choudhury BS, Das KP (2009) A coincidence point result in Menger spaces using a control function. Chaos Solitons Fractals 42:3058–3063

Choudhury BS, Dutta PN, Das KP (2008) A fixed point result in Menger spaces using a real function. Acta Math Hung 122:203–216

Ćirić LB (1975) On fixed point of generalized contractions on probabilistic metric spaces. Publ Inst Math (Belgr) 18:71–78 Dutta PN, Choudhury BS, Das KP (2009) Some fixed point results in Menger spaces using a control function. Surv Math Appl 4:41–52

Gajić L, Rakoćević V (2007) Pair of non-self-mappings and common fixed points. Appl Math Comput 187:999–1006 Hadzi O, Pap E (2001) Fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht Hadžić O, Pap E (2001) Fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces. Kluwer Academic, New York

Khan MS, Swaleh M, Sessa S (1984) Fixed points theorems by altering distances between the points. Bull Aust Math Soc 30:1–9

Kutbi MA, Gopal D, Vetro C, Sintunavarat W (2015) Further generalization of fixed point theorems in Menger PM-spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 2015:32

Menger K (1942) Statistical metrics. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 28:535–537

Menger K (1951) Probabilistic geometry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 37:226-229

Mihet D (2009) Altering distances in probabilistic Menger spaces. Nonlinear Anal 71:2734–2738

Schweizer B, Sklar A (1983) Probabilistic metric spaces. North-Holland series in probability and applied mathematics. North-Holland, New York

Sehgal VM (1966) Some fixed point theorems in functional analysis and probability. PhD dissertation, Wayne State University, Michigan

Sehgal VM, Bharucha-Reid AT (1972) Fixed point of contraction mappings in PM-spaces. Math Syst Theory 6:97–102

Su Y, Zhang J (2014) Fixed point and best proximity point theorems for contractions in new class of probabilistic metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 2014:170

Su Y, Gao W, Yao J-C (2015) Generalized contraction mapping principle and generalized best proximity point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 2015:76

Van An T, Van Dung N, Kadelburg Z, Radenović S (2014) Various generalizations of metric spaces and fixed point theorems. Rev Real Acad Cienc Exactas Fis Nat Ser A Mat 109:175–198. doi:10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7

Xu Y, Guan J, Su Y (2015a) Weak and strong convergence theorems of fixed points for nonexpansive mappings and strongly pseudocontractive mappings in a new class of probabilistic normed spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 2015:155

Xu W, Zhu C, Wu Z, Zhu L (2015b) Fixed point theorems for two new types of cyclic weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered Menger PM-spaces. J Nonlinear Sci Appl 8(4):412–422

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at springeropen.com