

RESEARCH

Open Access



Fixed point iteration for a countable family of multi-valued strictly pseudo-contractive-type mappings

C. E. Chidume^{1,2} and M. E. Okpala^{2*} 

*Correspondence:
maejok@gmail.com

² Department
of Mathematics, Federal
University Ndufu-Alike Ikwo,
Abakaliki, Ebonyi State,
Nigeria

Full list of author information
is available at the end of the
article

Abstract

This paper introduces a new averaged algorithm for finding a common fixed point of a countably infinite family of generalized k -strictly pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings. The new iterative sequence introduced is proved to be an approximating fixed point sequence for common fixed points of a countably infinite family of this class of mappings. Furthermore, under some mild assumptions, strong convergence theorems are also proved for this class of mappings. The method of proof used here is new and enables to overcome many strong restrictions appearing in contemporary literature. The stated theorems improve and generalize many recent works in iterative scheme for multi-valued mappings.

Keywords: Generalized k -strictly pseudo-contractive multi-valued mappings, Multi-valued maps

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H04, 47H09, 47H10

Background

Let (X, d) be a metric space, K a nonempty subset of X , and $T : K \rightarrow 2^K$ be a multi-valued mapping. A vector $x \in K$ is a fixed point of T if $x \in Tx$. For a single valued mapping T , a fixed point is any $x \in K$ such that $Tx = x$. We denote the collection of all fixed points of T by $F(T)$. Many well known researchers like Brouwer (1912), Daffer and Kaneko (1995), Deimling (1992), and Kirk Downing and Kirk (1977), Geanakoplos (2003), Kakutani (1941), Markin (1973), Nadler (1969), Nash (1950, 1951) and Reich and Zaslavski (2002a, b, 2006), have studied fixed points for multi-valued mappings.

Fixed point theory for multi-valued mappings continues to attract a lot of attention because of its numerous real world applications in game theory and market economy, differential inclusions, and constrained optimization. They are also desirable in devising critical points in optimal control problems, energy management problems, signal processing, image reconstruction and a host of other problems.

Game theory and market economy is, perhaps, the most socially recognized application of multi-valued mappings.

Consider, for example, a game $G(x_n, K_n)$ involving N players, namely $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Here, K_n , a nonempty compact and convex subset of \mathbb{R}^{m_n} , is the collection of possible strategies of the n th player. The continuous function $x_n : \prod_{i=1}^N K_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is the gain (payoff) function. Any vector y_n in K_n is the action which is available to the individual n to take. The collective action of all the N players is then $y := (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N) \in K := \prod_{i=1}^N K_n$. Given any n, y and $y_n \in K_n$, we use these standard notations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{-n} &:= K_1 \times K_2 \times \dots \times K_{n-1} \times K_{n+1} \times \dots \times K_N \\ y_{-n} &:= (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_N) \\ (y_n, y_{-n}) &:= (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_N). \end{aligned}$$

In this regard, the n 'th player maximizes his own gain, using a strategy y_n^* subject to the fact that the other players have chosen their strategies y_{-n} if and only if

$$x_n(y_n^*, y_{-n}) = \max_{y_n \in K_n} x_n(y_n, y_{-n}).$$

Define a multi-valued mapping $T_n : K_{-n} \rightarrow 2^{K_n}$ by

$$T_n(y_{-n}) = \text{Arg max}_{y_n \in K_n} x_n(y_n, y_{-n})$$

Then, the collective action $y^* = (y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_N^*)$ is called a *Nash equilibrium* point if each y_n^* is the most effective response that the n 'th player can make to the actions y_{-n}^* of the other $N - 1$ players. This is stated differently as

$$x_n(y_n^*) = \max_{y_n \in K_n} x_n(y_n, y_{-n}^*),$$

or, in other words,

$$y_n^* \in T_n(y_{-n}^*).$$

Therefore, $y^* = (y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_N^*)$ is a fixed point of the multi-valued mapping $T : K \rightarrow 2^K$ given by

$$T(y) = [T_1(y_{-1}), T_2(y_{-2}), \dots, T_N(y_{-N})].$$

Though many theory for multi-valued mappings in the literature have dealt with the existence of fixed points for such mappings, only very few have dealt with iterative algorithms for computing them. The problem of how to find such fixed points is part of what is addressed in this paper.

Given a real Hilbert space H , we denote by $CB(H)$ the family of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of H . It is well known that the Hausdorff distance defined by

$$D(A, B) := \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \right\},$$

is a metric on this family $CB(H)$.

The first work on fixed points for multi-valued (nonexpansive) mappings by the application of Hausdorff metric was done by Markin (1973), and followed by an extensive work by Nadler (1969). Since then, there are many results that have appeared in the

literature and which have found novel applications in both pure and applied sciences. Notable among these results is the work of Browder (1967).

In studying the operator equation $Au = 0$ (when the mapping A is monotone), Browder (1967), introduced a new operator T defined by $T := I - A$, where I is the identity mapping on the Hilbert H . He called the operator a *pseudocontractive mapping* and the solutions of $Au = 0$, are exactly the fixed points of the pseudocontractive mapping T . An important proper subclass of the pseudocontractive mappings is the well know nonexpansive mappings.

Definition 1.1 A single-valued mapping $T : K \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ is called

- pseudo-contractive, in the terminology of Browder and Petryshyn (1967), if there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(x - Tx) - (y - Ty)\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in K. \quad (1)$$

- monotone if

$$\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in D(T).$$

The class of pseudocontractive mappings is particularly important due to this close connection it has with the well known class of monotone mappings. Fixed points of the pseudocontractive mapping T are zeroes of the monotone mapping $A = I - T$. A well known example of a monotone operator in optimization theory is the multi-valued mapping $\partial f : D(f) \subseteq H \rightarrow 2^H$ called the subdifferential of the functional f and defined by

$$\partial f(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x - y, x^* \rangle \leq f(x) - f(y), \quad \forall y \in X\}.$$

The theory of multi-valued nonexpansive mappings (and, in particular, pseudocontractive mappings) is much harder than the corresponding theory of single valued nonexpansive mappings [see e.g. Khan and Yildirim (2012)]. The extension of the notion of single valued pseudocontractive mappings to multi-valued pseudocontractive mappings has some of these challenges:

- *Definition of the mapping* There is a problem of getting a right definition for the multi-valued analogue which would be a generalization of the single-valued case. There are several definitions available which will be a generalisation of the single valued case and one has to get the most natural among them to be able to establish some convergence theorems.
- *Identities* In multi-valued settings, the metric induced by the norm on X is not applicable and there is the need to develop new identities and other notions of distances which will be applicable. One notion of metric for sets that is readily applicable here is the Hausdorff metric.
- *Inference* Many theorems and lemmas that are developed for single valued mappings cannot be carried over to multi-valued cases and it is always difficult to make conclusions.

Chidume et al. (2013), introduced a multi-valued analogue of Definition 1.2 as follows;

Definition 1.2 Let H be a real Hilbert space and let D be a nonempty, open and convex subset of H . Let $T : \bar{D} \rightarrow CB(\bar{D})$ be a mapping. Then, T is called a *multi-valued k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping* if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in D(T)$, we have

$$D^2(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(x - u) - (y - v)\|^2, \quad (2)$$

for all $u \in Tx$, $v \in Ty$.

They proved a convergence theorem for this class of mapping as stated below:

Theorem 1.3 (Chidume et al. (2013)) *Let K be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Suppose that $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ is a multi-valued k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $Tp = \{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$. Suppose that T is hemicompact and continuous. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence defined iteratively from $x_0 \in K$ by*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda)x_n + \lambda y_n, \quad (3)$$

where $y_n \in Tx_n$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1 - k)$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$.

The result of Chidume et al. (2013) is more interesting than other similar result in the literature because it deals with strictly pseudocontractive mappings (which is more general than nonexpansive mappings) and also the problem of finding $z_n \in Tx_n$ such that $\|z_n - x^*\| = d(x^*, Tx_n)$ as it is, for example, in Sastry and Babu (2005), does not arise. However, the inequality (2) is equivalent to

$$D^2(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k \inf_{(u,v) \in (Tx, Ty)} \|(x - u) - (y - v)\|^2. \quad (4)$$

which is very restrictive

Very recently, Chidume and Okpala (2014) introduced a different class of multi-valued strictly pseudocontractive mapping as given below:

Definition 1.4 Chidume and Okpala (2014) Let H be a real Hilbert space and let K be a nonempty subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be a multi-valued mapping. Then T is called *generalized k -strictly pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping* if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in D(T)$, there holds

$$D^2(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|^2 + kD^2(Ax, Ay), \quad \text{where } A := I - T, \quad (5)$$

and I is the identity operator on K .

The class of mapping introduced here is natural and has been proved to be a proper superset of the class introduced in Chidume et al. (2013).

They developed some new identities regarding Hausdorff metric and used a Krasnoselskii type algorithm and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (Chidume and Okpala (2014)) *Let K be a nonempty, closed, convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be a generalized k -strictly pseudocontractive*

multi-valued mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume $Tp = \{p\} \forall p \in F(T)$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_0 \in K$,

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda)x_n + \lambda y_n \tag{6}$$

for $y_n \in U^n$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1 - k)$. Then, $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$U^n := \left\{ y_n \in Tx_n : D^2(\{x_n\}, Tx_n) \leq \|x_n - y_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right\}.$$

We seek to prove strong convergence theorems, using a new averaged algorithm, for common fixed point of a countably infinite family of this general class of mappings in a real Hilbert space. Our theorem generalizes the results of Chidume et al. (2013), Chidume and Ezeora (2014), Panyanak (2007), Song and Wang (2008), among others and extends to a countable family the results of Chidume and Okpala (2014).

Preliminaries

We shall need the following definitions and notations in the sequel:

We casually denote $(D(A, B))^2$ by $D^2(A, B)$ for all $A, B \in CB(X)$ for simplicity of notation.

Definition 2.1 A multi-valued mapping $T : K \subseteq H \rightarrow CB(H)$ is called

- Lipschitzian if there exists $L > 0$ such that for each $x, y \in K$,

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq L\|x - y\|, \tag{7}$$
- nonexpansive if there exist $L \leq 1$ such that T is Lipschitzian.

Proposition 2.2 (Chidume and Okpala (2014)) *Let K be a nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space H and $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be a generalized k -strictly pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping. Then T is Lipschitzian.*

Remark 2.3 Since every Lipschitz map is continuous, we would not make any continuity assumption on our mapping T throughout this paper.

Definition 2.4 A map $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ is said to be *hemicompact* if, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$, there exists a subsequence, say, $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow p \in K$.

Remark 2.5 Trivial example of hemicompact mappings are mapping with compact domains.

Definition 2.6 Let H be a real Hilbert space and let T be a multi-valued mapping. The multi-valued mapping $I - T$ is said to be *strongly demiclosed* at 0 (see, e.g., Garcí a-Falset et al. (2011)) if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq D(T)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow p$ and $d(x_n, Tx_n)$ converges strongly to 0, then $d(p, Tp) = 0$.

Proposition 2.7 (Chidume and Okpala (2014)) *Let K be a nonempty and closed subset of a real Hilbert space H and let $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be a generalized k -strictly pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping. Then, $(I - T)$ is strongly demiclosed at zero.*

The following recurrent inequality will be used to make estimates in the sequel.

Lemma 2.8 (Tan and Xu (1993)) *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following relation:*

$$a_{n+1} \leq a_n + \sigma_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$. Then, $\lim a_n$ exists. If, in addition, $\{a_n\}$ has a subsequence that converges to 0, then a_n converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.9 (Chidume and Ezeora (2014)) *Let H be a real Hilbert space and let $\{x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\} \subseteq H$. For $\alpha_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$, the following identity holds:*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \|x_i\|^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|x_i - x_j\|^2,$$

The following characterizations of the Hausdorff metric can be found in Chidume and Okpala (2014).

Lemma 2.10 (Chidume and Okpala (2014)) *Let E be a normed linear space, $B_1, B_2 \in CB(E)$ and $x, y \in E$ arbitrary. The following hold;*

- (a) $D(B_1, B_2) = D(x + B_1, x + B_2)$. *Translation Invariance.*
- (b) $D(B_1, B_2) = D(-B_1, -B_2)$.
- (c) $D(x + B_1, y + B_2) \leq \|x - y\| + D(B_1, B_2)$. *Triangle inequality.*
- (d) $D(\{x\}, B_1) = \sup_{b_1 \in B_1} \|x - b_1\|$.
- (e) $D(\{x\}, B_1) = D(0, x - B_1)$.

Fixed point iterations

The example given below shows that this general class of k -strictly pseudocontractive mappings actually exists and properly contains the class studied by Chidume et al. (2013), Osilike and Isiogugu (2011), Panyanak (2007), and a host of other authors. For the example, we shall need the following lemma, which is easy to verify.

Lemma 3.1 *Let a, b, c be real numbers such that $0 \leq a \leq bc, c > 0$. Then*

$$(a - b)^2 \leq b^2 + \left(\frac{c - 2}{c}\right) a^2. \tag{8}$$

Remark 3.2 By setting $c = 4$ in the lemma above, we will recover Lemma (3.5) of Chidume and Okpala (2014).

Example 3.3 Define a multi-valued mapping $T_i : l_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow CB(l_2(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$T_i x := \begin{cases} \{y \in l_2 : \|x + y\| \leq \alpha_i \|x\|\}, & x \neq 0 \\ \{0\}, & x = 0, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha_i = \frac{7^i}{3^i - 1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. We obtain that

$$x - T_i x := \begin{cases} \{y \in l_2 : \|y - 2x\| \leq \alpha_i \|x\|\}, & x \neq 0 \\ \{0\}, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, for arbitrary $x, y \in l_2(\mathbb{R})$, we compute as follows:

$$D(T_i x, T_i y) = \|x - y\| + \alpha_i \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right|,$$

and

$$D(x - T_i x, y - T_i y) = 2\|x - y\| + \alpha_i \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right|.$$

Now, set

$$a := D(x - T_i x, y - T_i y); \quad b := \|x - y\|.$$

Then, $a - b = D(T_i x, T_i y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2\|x - y\| + \alpha_i \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right| \\ &\leq (2 + \alpha_i)\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each i , set $2 + \alpha_i = c_i = c$ in Lemma (3.1) above. We obtain the identity $\frac{c_i - 2}{c_i} = \frac{\alpha_i}{2 + \alpha_i}$, and by the same lemma, we have

$$D^2(T_i x, T_i y) \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_i}{2 + \alpha_i} D(x - T_i x, y - T_i y).$$

Thus, each $T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$, is a generalized κ_i -strictly pseudo-contractive multi-valued mapping with $\kappa_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{2 + \alpha_i} \in (0, 1)$ and each $\kappa_i \leq \kappa := \frac{7}{13}$. Moreover, we have $p \in T_i p$ if and only if $p = 0$. Thus, for $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i p), T_i p = \{p\}$.

The following Lemma would be used in the sequel.

Lemma 3.4 *Let H be a real Hilbert space and let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in H . For $\delta_i \in (0, 1)$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i = 1$, the following identity holds:*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i x_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i \|x_i\|^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j < \infty} \delta_i \delta_j \|x_i - x_j\|^2. \tag{10}$$

Proof Define $\delta_i(n) := (1 - \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \delta_i)^{-1} \delta_i$ for each n . It is easily seen that $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(n) = 1$ and that $\delta_i(n) \rightarrow \delta_i$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.9, we obtain that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(n)x_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(n)\|x_i\|^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \delta_i(n)\delta_j(n)\|x_i - x_j\|^2.$$

Since the inequality is true for all natural numbers n , we pass to the limit on both sides and obtain the identity (10) as proposed. \square

Next, given a countably infinite family $\{T_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of generalized κ_i -strictly pseudo-contractive multi-valued mappings and an arbitrary sequence $\{x_n\}$ subset of K , denote by Γ_n^i the set of inexact distal points of x_n with respect to the set $T_i x_n$, i.e

$$\Gamma_n^i := \left\{ \zeta_n^i \in T_i x_n : D^2(\{x_n\}, T_i x_n) \leq \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right\}.$$

Obviously, Γ_n^i is closed, convex and nonempty for each $n \geq 1$ due to Lemma (2.10)(d).

In particular, if $T_i x$ is assumed to be proximal and bounded for each $x \in K$, then $T_i x_n$ has a vector, say η_n^i of maximum norm, i.e.

$$\|x_n - \eta_n^i\| = \sup_{\zeta_n^i \in T_i x_n} \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\| =: D(\{x_n\}, T_i x_n).$$

In that case, it is certain that $\eta_n^i \in \Gamma_n^i$.

Based upon these analyses, we now prove our main theorem. We will assume henceforth that K is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H .

Theorem 3.5 *Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be a countably infinite family of generalized κ_i -strictly pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings such that for some $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, $\kappa_i \in (0, \kappa]$. Assume that $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and for $p \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$, $T_i p = \{p\}$. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ recursively by*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in K, \text{ arbitrary,} \\ \zeta_n^i \in \Gamma_n^i, \\ x_{n+1} = \delta_0 x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i \zeta_n^i, \\ \delta_0 \in (\kappa, 1), \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i = 1. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Then, for each i , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_i x_n) = 0$.

Proof We will first of all establish that the recursion formula $x_{n+1} := \delta_0 x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i \zeta_n^i$ in the algorithm (11) is well defined. Take $p \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$ arbitrary. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\| &\leq D(x_n, T_i x_n), \\ &= D(x_n + p, p + T_i x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain by Lemma 2.10(c) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\| &\leq \|x_n - p\| + D(Tp, T_i x_n), \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| + \frac{1 + \sqrt{\kappa}}{1 - \sqrt{\kappa}} \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

As a matter of fact, we may apply the triangle inequality and take limits to obtain

$$\|\zeta_n^i\| \leq K_n := \|x_n\| + \frac{2}{1 - \sqrt{\kappa}} \inf_{p \in F(T)} \|x_n - p\|.$$

It follows then that

$$\|x_{n+1}\| \leq \delta_0 \|x_n\| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i \|\zeta_n^i\|,$$

and therefore

$$\|x_{n+1}\| \leq \delta_0 \|x_n\| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i K_n \leq K_n.$$

which shows that x_{n+1} is well defined. We show the convergence of $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

Since $\zeta_n^i \in \Gamma_n^i$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\delta_0(x_n - p) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i(\zeta_n^i - p)\|^2 \\ &= \delta_0 \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i \|\zeta_n^i - p\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_0 \delta_i \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \infty} \delta_i \delta_j \|\zeta_n^i - \zeta_n^j\|^2 \\ &\leq \delta_0 \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i D^2(T_i x_n, Tp) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_0 \delta_i \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 \\ &\leq \delta_0 \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i (\|x_n - p\|^2 + \kappa_i D^2(\{0\}, x_n - T_i x_n)) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_0 \delta_i \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i \kappa_i D^2(\{x_n\}, T_i x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_0 \delta_i \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 \\ \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i \kappa (\|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 + \frac{1}{n^2}) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_0 \delta_i \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{n^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i (\delta_0 - \kappa) (\|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

This is summarised as:

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{n^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i (\delta_0 - \kappa) \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2, \tag{12}$$

and therefore

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{n^2}. \tag{13}$$

In accordance with Lemma (2.8), $\|x_n - p\|$ has a limit and thus $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Also, from inequality (12), there holds:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i(\delta_0 - \kappa) \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{n^2} - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2$$

and so for each $i \geq 1$,

$$\delta_i(\delta_0 - \kappa) \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{n^2} - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2, \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty),$$

Taking limits on both sides as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\| = 0$. Using the fact that $d(x_n, T_i x_n) \leq \|x_n - \zeta_n^i\|$, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_i x_n) = 0$. \square

Corollary 3.6 *Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be a countably infinite family of generalized κ_i -strictly pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings such that for some $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, $\kappa_i \in (0, \kappa]$. Assume that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and suppose that for $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$, $T_i p = \{p\}$. Assume T_{i_0} is hemicompact for some i_0 . Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by algorithm (11) converges strongly to a fixed point of T .*

Proof We already have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_i x_n) = 0$ due to Theorem (3.5). The mapping T_{i_0} being hemicompact guarantees the existence of some subsequence, say $\{x_{n_k}\}$, of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow q$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\zeta_{n_k}^i \in T_i x_{n_k}$ be such that $\|x_{n_k} - \zeta_{n_k}^i\| \leq d(x_{n_k}, T_i x_{n_k}) + \frac{1}{k}$. We estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} d(q, T_i q) &\leq \|q - x_{n_k}\| + \|x_{n_k} - \zeta_{n_k}^i\| + d(\zeta_{n_k}^i, T_i q) \\ &\leq \|q - x_{n_k}\| + d(x_{n_k}, T_i x_{n_k}) + \frac{1}{k} + D(T_i x_{n_k}, T_i q) \\ &\leq \|q - x_{n_k}\| + d(x_{n_k}, T_i x_{n_k}) + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1 + \sqrt{\kappa}}{1 - \sqrt{\kappa}} \|x_{n_k} - q\|. \end{aligned}$$

If we take limits on both sides when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $d(q, T_i q) = 0$. Using the fact that each $T_i q$ is closed, we obtain that $q \in T_i q$ for each i , and therefore conclude that $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i q$. Moreover, $x_{n_k} \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives $\|x_{n_k} - q\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by Lemma (2.8) and inequality (13), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - q\| = 0$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point q of T as claimed. \square

Corollary 3.7 *Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be a countably infinite family of generalized κ_i -strictly pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping, with $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and assume that for $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$, $T_i p = \{p\}$. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by Eq. (11) converges strongly to a fixed point of T .*

Proof Since K is compact, the mappings $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ is hemicompact. Thus, by Corollary (3.6), we have that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some $p \in F(T)$. \square

Remark 3.8 In comparison with Theorem 7.1.5 of Chidume and Ezeora (2014), Corollary 3.6 has these merits.

- (i) We proved the theorem for a countably infinite family of a much larger class of mapping which is the generalized k -strictly pseudo-contractive multi-valued mappings.
- (ii) We only needed just one of the maps to be hemicompact and not all of them.
- (iii) We replaced the 'strong condition' $\delta_i \in (k, 1)$ by a weaker condition $\delta_0 \in (k, 1)$.
- (iv) The condition $\zeta_n^i \in \Gamma_n^i$ is more readily applicable than requiring that Tx is proximal and weakly closed for each x , and then, computing $\zeta_n = P_{Tx_n}x_n$ at each iterative step.

Conclusion

Our theorem and corollaries improve the convergence theorems for multi-valued non-expansive mappings in Abbas et al. (2011), Chidume et al. (2013), Chidume and Ezeora (2014), Chidume and Okpala (2014), Khan and Yildirim (2012), Ofoedu and Zegeye (2010), Panyanak (2007), Sastry and Babu (2005), Song and Wang (2008), in the following sense:

- (i) The class of mappings considered in this paper contains the class of multi-valued k -strictly pseudocontractive mappings as a special case, which itself properly contain the class of multi-valued nonexpansive maps.
- (ii) The algorithm here is of Krasnoselkii type, which is known to have a geometric order of convergence.
- (iii) The condition that Tx be weakly closed for each $x \in K$ as can be found, for example, in Chidume et al. (2013) and Chidume and Ezeora (2014) is dispensed with here.

Authors' contributions

CE proposed the problem. ME worked out the intricacies and drafted the first version of the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Mathematics Institute, African University of Sciences and Technology, Abuja, Nigeria. ² Department of Mathematics, Federal University Ndufu-Alike Ikwo, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge funding from the African Capacity Building Foundation (ACBF) for the publication of this manuscript.

Compliance with ethical guidelines

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 22 July 2015 Accepted: 27 August 2015

Published online: 17 September 2015

References

Abbas M, Khan SH, Khan AR, Agarwal RP (2011) Common fixed points of two multi-valued nonexpansive mappings by onestep iterative scheme. *Appl Math Lett* 24(2):97102

- Brouwer LEJ (1912) Über Abbildung von Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Math Ann* 71(4):598
- Browder FE, Petryshyn WE (1967) Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space. *J Math Anal Appl* 20:197–228
- Browder FE (1967) Nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type in Banach Spaces. *Bull Am Math Soc* 73:875–882
- Chidume CE, Chidume CO, Djitte N, Minjibir MS (2013) Convergence theorems for fixed points of multi-valued strictly pseudocontractive mappings in Hilbert spaces. *Abstr Appl Anal* 2013:629468. doi:10.1155/2013/629468
- Chidume CE, Ezeora JN (2014) Krasnoselskii-type algorithm for family of multi-valued strictly pseudo-contractive mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 111. doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2014-111
- Chidume CE, Okpala ME (2014) On a general class of multi-valued strictly pseudocontractive mappings. *J Nonlinear Anal Optim Theory Appl* 5(2):7–20
- Daffer PZ, Kaneko H (1995) Fixed points of generalized contractive multi-valued mappings. *J Math Anal Appl* 192(2):655666
- Deimling K (1992) Multi-valued differential equations, vol 1. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin
- Downing D, Kirk WA (1977) Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces. *Math Jpn* 22(1):99–112
- García-Falset J, Lorens-Fuster E, Suzuki T (2011) Fixed point theory for a class of generalized nonexpansive mappings. *J Math Anal Appl* 375(1):185–195
- Geanakoplos J (2003) Nash and walras equilibrium via Brouwer. *Econ Theory* 21:585–603
- Kakutani S (1941) A generalization of Brouwer's fixed point theorem. *Duke Math J* 8(3):457–459
- Khan SH, Yildirim I (2012) Fixed points of multi-valued nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2012, article 73
- Markin JT (1973) Continuous dependence of fixed point sets. *Proc Am Math Soc* 38:545547
- Nadler SB Jr (1969) Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pac J Math* 30:475–488
- Nash JF (1950) Equilibrium points in n-person games. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 36(1):48–49
- Nash JF (1951) Non-cooperative games, second series. *Ann Math* 54:286–295
- Ofoedu EU, Zegeye H (2010) Iterative algorithm for multi-valued pseudocontractive mappings in Banach spaces. *J Math Anal Appl* 372:68–76
- Osilike MO, Isiogugu FO (2011) Weak and strong convergence theorems for nonspreading-type mappings in Hilbert spaces. *Nonlinear Anal* 74:18141822
- Panyanak B (2007) Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multi-valued mappings in Banach spaces. *Comput Math Appl* 54(6):872–877
- Reich S, Zaslavski AJ (2002) Convergence of iterates of nonexpansive set-valued mappings in set valued mappings with applications in nonlinear analysis. *Math Anal Appl Taylor Francis Lond UK* 4:411420
- Reich S, Zaslavski AJ (2002) Generic existence of fixed points for set-valued mappings. *Set Valued Anal* 10(4):287296
- Reich S, Zaslavski AJ (2006) Two results on fixed points of set-valued nonexpansive mappings. *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 51(1):8994
- Sastry KPR, Babu GVR (2005) Convergence of Ishikawa iterates for a multi-valued mapping with a fixed point. *Czechoslov Math J* 55(4):817826
- Song Y, Wang H (2008) Erratum to; Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multi-valued mappings in Banach Spaces [Comput. Math. Appl. 54 (2007), 872877]. *Comput Math Appl* 55:2999–3002
- Tan KK, Xu HK (1993) Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa Iteration Process. *J Math Anal Appl* 178(2):301–308

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
