Illness perception in tuberculosis by implementation of the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire – a TBNET study

Dragica P Pesut1,2*, Bogdana N Bursuc3, Milica V Buljic4, Ivan Solovic5,6, Katarzyna Kruczk7, Raquel Duarte8, Adriana Sorete-Arbore9, Marinela Raileanu10, Irina Strambu10, Ljudmila Nagorni-Obradovic1, Tatjana Adzic1, Zorica Lazic11, Maria Zlatev-Ionescu12, Sorokhaibam Bhagyabati13, Irom Ibungo Singh13 and Govind Narayan Srivastava14

Abstract
How patients relate to the experience of their illness has a direct impact over their behavior. We aimed to assess illness perception in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis (TB) by means of the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire (BIPQ) in correlation with patients’ demographic features and clinical TB score. Our observational questionnaire based study included series of consecutive TB patients enrolled in several countries from October 2008 to January 2011 with 167 valid questionnaires analyzed. Each BIPQ item assessed one dimension of illness perceptions like the consequences, timeline, personal control, treatment control, identity, coherence, emotional representation and concern. An open question referred to the main causes of TB in each patient’s opinion.

The over-all BIPQ score (36.25 ± 11.054) was in concordance with the clinical TB score (p ≤ 0.001). TB patients believed in the treatment (the highest item-related score for treatment control) but were unsure about the illness identity. Illness understanding and the clinical TB score were negatively correlated (p < 0.01). Only 25% of the participants stated bacteria or TB contact as the first ranked cause of the illness.

For routine clinical practice implementation of the BIPQ is convenient for obtaining fast and easy assessment of illness perception with potential utility in intervention design. This time saving effective personalized approach may improve communication with TB patients and contribute to better behavioral strategies in disease control.
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Background
Individuals diagnosed with an illness develop cognitive models to make sense of their ailment. These perceptions are important in guiding coping strategies and illness-specific behaviors (Broadbent et al. 2006; Petrie et al. 2002; Petrie et al. 2003). Patients may develop specific ideas about their disease. Research on illness perceptions may reveal differences between the physician’s view and the patient’s view, understanding and reaction (Bean et al. 2007). Changing patients’ illness perceptions is possible and it has been shown to improve recovery following myocardial infarction, and other self regulatory interventions in conditions such as diabetes mellitus (Petrie et al. 2002). In AIDS, the changes have improved patient outcome (Petrie et al. 2003).

Tuberculosis (TB) is a major cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide, affecting different countries disproportionately (World Health Organization 2011, 2014). Increasing number of HIV-infected people and the emergence of drug-resistant strains of M. tuberculosis, especially in Eastern Europe, make TB control more complicated (Migliori et al. 2008; Raviglione and Smith 2007).
Methods

Study design and subjects

We aimed to assess illness perception in patients with pulmonary TB by implementation of the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire (BIPQ) in correlation with the patients’ demographic data, social factors and clinical score at two time points: i) at the start of treatment, ii) at the end of the initial phase of treatment (after 2 months).

This observational study included a series of 178 consecutive newly diagnosed pulmonary TB patients (World Health Organization 2003) aged 18 years or older enrolled at TB hospitals in Europe and Asia between 1 October 2008 and 31 January 2011.

Data were collected by members of the Tuberculosis Network European Trials Group (TBNET) and allied researchers from Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia and India, who entered them in Microsoft Excel worksheets. The ethical board of the coordinating centre approved the study (12/4 B).

Questionnaires

The patients’ clinical questionnaire was created to obtain demographic (sex, age) and social (marital status, profession, education) factors, tobacco smoking status and TB score (the components of the latter are listed in Table 1). The other one was the original Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire (BIPQ). After giving informed consent, all the 178 patients voluntarily completed the questionnaires at the start of treatment (0-month) for demographic and social data, symptoms as part of a TB score and the BIPQ. Medical staff were involved in providing relevant clinical data related to the TB score. The 167/178 questionnaires were valid, and 93/167 patients were retested after 2-months.

TB score

A simple clinical score was developed by Wejse et al. (2008) for repeated clinical status evaluation of TB patients during treatment without using advanced technical equipment. It is a useful clinical index, which is sensitive to changes during treatment. TB score components included self reported symptoms (cough, dyspnea, night sweats, hemoptysis and chest pain) and signs (anemia: paleness of conjunctivae at eye-examination; tachycardia: pulse rate ≥90/min; positive finding at lung auscultation: any one of the following findings present: crepitation, rhonci, subdud or complete absence of respiratory sounds; axillary temperature: temperature ≥37.08°C measured by an electronic thermometer in a closed axillary fold; body mass index (BMI): height measured using a meter scale and weight determined at each visit using the same balance. BMI = weight/(height)2; mid upper arm circumference (MUAC): measured over biceps of the non-dominant arm with a non-stretchable measuring tape. We used original instructions to score (the higher the score, the more severe clinical form of TB) (Wejse et al. 2008) and perform statistical analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 TB score components at the beginning of treatment (0) and after two months at the end of the continual phase of therapy (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TB score item</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = Body Mass Index; MUAC = Mid Upper Arm Circumference.
BIPQ

The BIPQ is a 9-item questionnaire, used to measure illness perceptions along the following dimensions: identity, consequences, timeline, personal control, treatment control, concern, understanding and emotional representations (Broadbent et al. 2006). Each dimension is measured as a single item scored on an 11-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating stronger endorsement of that item. According to the original instructions, summary score was also calculated by adding all of the BIPQ individual items to reflect the overall positivity or negativity of an individual's illness perceptions. Finally, the BIPQ included an open question aimed to assess patients’ opinion about the three main causes of their disease in a rank order (Broadbent et al. 2006). The BIPQ is presented in Additional file 1.

The BIPQ forward and back-translation process caused no difficulties. After linguistic validation, BIPQ versions conceptually and linguistically equivalent to the original instrument were offered to the patients in their native languages. Implementation of the BIPQ in research on renal disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction, asthma, and minor disturbances showed good test retest validity (Broadbent et al. 2006) and another study led to its intercultural validation (Bean et al. 2007). The calculated minimum number of study group participants is 85 (Broadbent et al. 2006).

Data analysis

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel worksheets and IBM SPSS Statistics 19 was employed for the analysis. We used original instructions to score the BIPQ (Broadbent et al. 2006) and TB score (Wejse et al. 2008). Bivariate correlations among the clinical and BIPQ scores at different time points were examined using Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r). The existence of significant differences between clinical and BIPQ scores at different time points was tested by corresponding paired t-tests. The significance levels were set at 0.01 < p ≤ 0.05 (statistically significant) and p ≤ 0.01 (highly statistically significant).

Results

The total of 167/178 patients with pulmonary TB and valid questionnaires consisted of 104 (62.3%) men and 63 (37.7%) women of mean age 43.57 ± 14.462 years (18–83, range). The mean clinical score (TBscore) was 4.79 ± 2.918 in 167 patients’ sample at the beginning of treatment. In the sample of 93/167 patients retested at 2-month point the scores were 4.16 ± 2.871 and 2.41 ± 2.285 at time points 0 and 2, respectively (Figure 1), and the findings were highly significantly different (paired t-test, p < 0.001). The components of TB score results are shown in Table 1.

Total mean BIPQ score was 36.25 ± 11.053 at the start of treatment. We found close positive correlations between total mean BIPQ and TB scores at both time points (p < 0.001, 2-tailed). Mean values for the BIPQ items and their correlation with clinical score are presented in Table 2. The highest BIPQ item-related score was found for treatment control and the lowest for timeline (illness duration) and identity (Tables 2 and 3, and Figure 2).
We found a significant difference between mean values for total BIPQ score at the beginning of treatment (0-month point) and the total score at the end of the initial phase of therapy; paired samples test, 2-tailed, p < 0.001 (Table 3). Total BIPQ scores at both time points are shown in Figure 3 for 93 patients.

The answers to the BIPQ open question on the main causes of the illness by patients' own opinion, showed that stress, tobacco smoking and malnutrition were first in the rank order. Only 25% of the patients stated a germ or contact with another TB patient as the main cause of the disease whatever the order was.

Analysis of the patients' tobacco smoking status showed 104 (62.27%) active smokers at the time of diagnosis and the proportion decreased only slightly during the course of therapy.

The majority of patients would inform their family and/or friends about their illness, but one third of them would rather tell nobody.

**Discussion**

The original BIPQ, which we implemented in patients with TB, allowed rapid assessment of illness perceptions and took just a few minutes to complete. The patients' perceptions of the disease varied widely. The over-all BIPQ score is concordant with the clinical TB score at the beginning of treatment, but significantly differed from BIPQ mean values at the end of the initial phase of therapy. This could be expected since higher BIPQ scores indicate a more threatening view of the disease, and higher TB score indicates more severe clinical presentation. The mean value of the total BIPQ score in the current study is lower than that in a group of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) but higher than in those with allergic rhinitis assessed by the same methodology (Pesut et al. 2010; Pesut et al. 2014). This may suggest that TB is perceived as a less threatening disease than COPD. While a study in Bangladesh showed widespread belief that TB is not curable (Karim et al. 2011), ours revealed that TB patients believed in treatment (the highest and significantly increasing score for treatment control). On the other hand, the mean score for identity (experience of symptoms correctly referred to TB) as one of the lowest BIPQ scores suggests that further efforts are needed to make TB less mysterious and confusing for patients. Thus, TB patients recognized symptoms but were not able to refer them to the illness itself. Furthermore, a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIPQ items</th>
<th>Mean value ± SD</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Significance (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Consequences</td>
<td>5.96 ± 3.144</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Timeline</td>
<td>4.82 ± 2.775</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Personal control</td>
<td>6.95 ± 2.780</td>
<td>−0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Treatment control</td>
<td>8.26 ± 2.646</td>
<td>−0.108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Identity</td>
<td>4.86 ± 2.877</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Concern</td>
<td>6.44 ± 2.949</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Understanding</td>
<td>7.38 ± 2.751</td>
<td>−0.215</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Emotional response</td>
<td>6.62 ± 2.932</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total BIPQ score</td>
<td>36.25 ± 11.054</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BIPQ = Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire (Broadbent et al. 2006); TBscore (Wejse et al. 2008).

The p-values are entered only where the correlation is significant.

The majority of patients would inform their family and/or friends about their illness, but one third of them would rather tell nobody.

**Table 3** The mean values of BIPQ item scores at the start of anti-tuberculosis therapy (0) and at the end of the initial phase of treatment (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIPQ item</th>
<th>Mean value ± SD</th>
<th>Mean value ± SD</th>
<th>Mean value ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0) N = 167</td>
<td>(0) N = 93</td>
<td>(2) N = 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Consequences</td>
<td>5.96 ± 3.144</td>
<td>6.01 ± 2.958</td>
<td>5.03 ± 3.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Timeline</td>
<td>4.82 ± 2.775</td>
<td>4.92 ± 2.700</td>
<td>5.12 ± 2.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Personal control</td>
<td>6.95 ± 2.780</td>
<td>7.09 ± 2.831</td>
<td>7.43 ± 2.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Treatment control</td>
<td>8.26 ± 2.646</td>
<td>8.13 ± 2.950</td>
<td>8.32 ± 2.675 max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Identity</td>
<td>4.86 ± 2.877</td>
<td>4.65 ± 2.842</td>
<td>3.85 ± 2.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Concern</td>
<td>6.44 ± 2.949</td>
<td>6.05 ± 2.983</td>
<td>4.66 ± 2.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Understanding</td>
<td>7.38 ± 2.751</td>
<td>7.44 ± 2.984</td>
<td>7.66 ± 2.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total BIPQ score</td>
<td>36.25 ± 11.054</td>
<td>35.6237 ± 11.15203</td>
<td>29.795 ± 13.277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference between 0- and 2-month point (p < 0.01).
highly negative correlation was found between the item, understanding, and clinical score, as well as a significant lack of patients’ knowledge about the main cause of TB. The present findings have implications both for routine clinical practice and for general plans for TB control strategies in terms of an improved approach towards education of patients and the population about TB as an infectious disease and its clinical presentation. A new TBNET project, ExplainTB, has been developed to meet this purpose worldwide (TBNET Tuberculosis Network European Trials Group 2014).

The majority of our TB patients were active smokers at the time of diagnosis and many of them listed smoking as the main cause of the disease. Tobacco smoking is a major and the most preventable cause of morbidity and mortality in the world (World Health Organization 2013; Slama, 2004). It has been shown to decrease both cellular and humoral immunity in humans (Sopori, 2002; Arcavi and Benowitz 2003; Bates et al. 2007). Its association with TB was the focus of several studies, which demonstrated its influence on the severity and clinical course of the disease, and indicated an increased rate of TB relapses in smokers (Bates et al. 2007; Bothamley, 2005). Apart from education on the harmful effects of tobacco smoking and its association with TB, proper professional help in smoking cessation should be offered to those TB patients who are not able to quit smoking alone.

The finding that one third of the patients would not tell anybody about their illness, might suggest that fear of social exclusion still exists (Story et al. 2006) and
necessitates further research on stigma in TB (Ahmed Suleiman et al. 2013).

Instead of the long and time consuming Illness Perception Questionnaire - IPQ (or its revised version IPQ-R), we have used the equally valid BIPQ (Broadbent et al. 2006) to record patients’ personal beliefs about TB. An advantage of this approach was that we were able to obtain initial information from almost all patients in successive series, including severely ill ones, thus excluding a potential selection bias. The study design enabled us to obtain a general picture of illness perception in TB quickly and to identify rapidly the particular results of the test for each patient. We could also identify the highest and the lowest BIPQ item scores in the study group, which included double the number suggested to be necessary (Bean et al. 2007). We could not obtain uniform follow up and data collection to maintain all the enrolled patients’ longitudinal data by closure of the study, so there was a decrease in the number patients at the 2-month point. This was not related to their condition but to notification problems and data collection. However, the paired t-test analysis showed increased emotional stability and control over time when the patients apparently gained increased control over their illness, increased belief in the treatment with decreasing symptoms. The emotional response scores were inverse to the illness identity scores and disease control scores at the two time points.

Assessment of illness perception in routine clinical practice could address problems in patients’ behavior that may disturb adherence to treatment and lead to default (Hasker et al. 2008). Since illness perceptions can be changed, the results of our study have potential utility in intervention design and health promotion.

Conclusion
This study represents the first assessment of illness perception in TB by implementation of the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire – BIPQ. The 9-item questionnaire allows rapid assessment of illness perception, especially of its cognitive and emotional aspects. Illness perception in TB shows wide variability and a positive correlation with clinical disease score. The results show that TB patients believe in treatment but also indicate further need of education aimed to make TB causes clear and illness identity less confusing. The fact that cohort had a high proportion of smokers that failed to quit smoking during the treatment indicates that more efforts to stop smoking are warranted. Implementation of the BIPQ in routine practice would allow better understanding of patients’ behavior that could lead to default and drug resistance. Further study is needed to investigate the influence of illness perception on treatment outcome in TB.

Additional file

Additional file 1: The Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire.
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