

REVIEW

Open Access



On the best proximity point for the proximal contractive and nonexpansive mappings on the starshaped sets

Meifang Guo¹, Xia Li¹ and Yongfu Su^{2*} 

*Correspondence:
tjsuyongfu@163.com

² Department
of Mathematics, Tianjin
Polytechnic University,
Tianjin 300387, People's
Republic of China
Full list of author information
is available at the end of the
article

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to the best proximity point theorems for the proximal non-expansive mapping on the starshaped sets by using a clever and simple method. The results improve and extend the recent results of Chen et al. (Fixed Point Theory Appl 2015:19, 2015). It should be noted that, the complex method is used by Jianren Chen et al. can be replaced by the clever and simple method presented in this paper.

Keywords: Best proximity point, Proximal nonexpansive mapping, Starshaped set, Nonexpansive map

Introduction and preliminaries

The best proximity point problems and best proximity point theorems are basic part of nonlinear analysis and applications. In the recent years, many authors are studying the best proximity point problems. a lot of the best proximity point theorems and relatively results have been obtained in the metric spaces or normed spaces (see Fan 1969; Reich 1978; Prolla 1983; Sehgal and Singh 1988, 1989; Vetrivel et al. 1992; Basha 2000, 2011a, b; Kirk et al. 2003; Veeramani et al. 2005; Eldred and Veeramani 2006; Gabeleh 2013a, b, 2014; Sankar Raj 2011; Abkar and Gabeleh 2013a, b; Kosuru and Veeramani 2011; Lovaglia 1955; Opial 1967; Al-Thagafi and Shahzad 2009; Zhang et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2015; Hussain and Hezarjaribi 2016; Shayanpour et al. 2016; Yongfu and Yao 2015; AlNemer et al. 2016; Samet 2015; Yongfu et al. 2015; Kiran et al. 2015; Binayak 2015; Kong et al. 2015; Yongfu and Zhang 2014; Sun et al. 2014).

Let $T: A \rightarrow B$, where A, B are two nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . Note that if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, the equation $Tx = x$ might have no solution. Under this circumstance it is meaningful to find a point $x \in A$ such that $d(x, Tx)$ is minimum. Essentially, if $d(x, Tx) = dist(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\}$, $d(x, Tx)$ is the global minimum value $dist(A, B)$ and hence x is an approximate solution of the equation $Tx = x$ with the least possible error. Such a solution is known as a best proximity point of the mapping T . A point $x \in A$ is called the best proximity point of T if

$$d(x, Tx) = dist(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\}.$$

It is easy to see that if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, the best proximity point just is the fixed point of T . We can find an early classical work in Fan (1969), and afterward, there have been many interesting results such as in Reich (1978), Prolla (1983), Sehgal and Singh (1988, 1989), Vetrivel et al. (1992), Basha (2000, 2011a, b), Kirk et al. (2003), Veeramani et al. (2005) and Eldred and Veeramani (2006), and many others (for example Gabeleh 2013a, b, 2014; Sankar Raj 2011; Abkar and Gabeleh 2013a, b; Kosuru and Veeramani 2011; Lovaglia 1955; Opial 1967; Al-Thagafi and Shahzad 2009; Zhang et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2015; Hussain and Hezarjaribi 2016; Shayanpour et al. 2016; Yongfu and Yao 2015; AlNemer et al. 2016; Samet 2015; Yongfu et al. 2015; Kiran et al. 2015; Binayak 2015; Kong et al. 2015; Yongfu and Zhang 2014; Sun et al. 2014).

Recently, Gabeleh introduced a new notion which is called the proximal nonexpansive mapping in Gabeleh (2013).

Definition 1 (Gabeleh 2013a) Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . A mapping $T:A \rightarrow B$ is said to be proximal nonexpansive if

$$\begin{cases} d(u_1, Tx_1) = \text{dist}(A, B) \\ d(u_2, Tx_2) = \text{dist}(A, B) \end{cases} \Rightarrow d(u_1, u_2) \leq d(x_1, x_2).$$

for all $x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2 \in A$.

Definition 2 (Basha 2011a) Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . A mapping $T:A \rightarrow B$ is said to be proximal contraction if there exists a constant $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that

$$\begin{cases} d(u_1, Tx_1) = \text{dist}(A, B) \\ d(u_2, Tx_2) = \text{dist}(A, B) \end{cases} \Rightarrow d(u_1, u_2) \leq \alpha d(x_1, x_2).$$

for all $x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2 \in A$.

Definition 3 (Kosuru and Veeramani 2011) Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . The pair (A, B) is said to be a semi-sharp proximinal pair if for each $x \in A$ (respectively, in B) there exists at most one $x^* \in B$ (respectively, in A) such that $d(x, x^*) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

The following notions are presented in Chen et al. (2015).

Definition 4 (Chen et al. 2015) A nonempty subset A of a linear space X is called a p -starshaped set if there exists a point $p \in A$ such that $\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)x \in A$, for all $x \in A$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and p is called the center of A .

Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . We denote by A_0 and B_0 the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{x \in A : d(x, y) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } y \in B\} \\ B_0 &= \{y \in B : d(x, y) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } x \in A\} \end{aligned}$$

where $d(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$.

Remark 5 (Chen et al. 2015) It is easy to see that in a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, if A is a p -starshaped set and B is a q -starshaped set and $\|p - q\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$, A_0 is a p -starshaped set, and B_0 is a q -starshaped set, respectively. If both of A and B are closed and A_0 is nonempty, A_0 is closed.

The purpose of this paper is to the best proximity point theorems for the proximal nonexpansive mapping on a starshaped sets by using a clever and simple method. The results improve and extend the recent results of Chen et al. (2015). It should be noted that, the complex method is used by Jianren Chen et al. can be replaced by the clever and simple method presented in this paper.

A clever and simple method of proof

In Chen et al. (2015), authors proved the following conclusion, which plays an important role in the proof of their main results. However, the method used in the proof is relatively complicated. In this section, we will give a clever and simple method of proof.

Conclusion 1 (Chen et al. 2015, Lemma 3.1) *Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) and A_0 be closed and nonempty. Assume that $T:A \rightarrow B$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a) *T is a proximal contraction;*
- (b) *$T(A_0) \subset B_0$. Then there exists a unique $x^* \in A$ such that $d(x^*, Tx^*) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

Simple proof For any $x \in A_0$, since T is a proximal contraction, if $d(u_1, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ and $d(u_2, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, then $u_1 = u_2$, hence there exists a unique $u \in A_0$ such that $d(u, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, we denote by $u = PTx$ this relation. That is, we define a mapping P from $T(A_0)$ into A_0 . Hence PT is a mapping from complete metric subspace A_0 into itself. From (a) we know that,

$$d(PTx_1, PTx_2) \leq \alpha d(x_1, x_2), \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in A_0.$$

By using Banach contraction mapping principle, there exists a unique point $x^* \in A_0$ such that $x^* = PTx^*$, this equivalent to $d(x^*, Tx^*) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. The proof is completes. \square

The following conclusion is a main result of Jianren Chen et al. The method used in the proof is also complicated. In this section, we also give a very simple method of proof.

Conclusion 2 (Chen et al. 2015, Theorem 3.3) *Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty, closed subsets of a Banach space X such that A is a p -starshaped set, B is a q -starshaped set, and $\|p - q\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Suppose A is compact, and (A, B) is a semi-sharp proximinal pair. Assume that $T:A \rightarrow B$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a) *T is a proximal nonexpansive;*
- (b) *$T(A_0) \subset B_0$. Then there exists an element $x^* \in A$ such that $\|x^* - Tx^*\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

Simple proof For any $x \in A_0$, since T is a proximal nonexpansive, there exists a unique $u \in A_0$ such that $d(u, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, we denote by $u = PTx$ this relation. Hence PT is a mapping from complete metric subspace A_0 into it-self. From (a) we know that,

$$\|PTx_1 - PTx_2\| \leq \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in A_0.$$

Hence PT is a nonexpansive mapping from A_0 into it-self. We define a mapping $S : A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ by

$$Sx = \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)PTx$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$, then S is a contraction. From Remark 5, we know that A_0 is closed, by using Banach contraction mapping principle, there exists a unique element $x_\lambda \in A_0$ such that

$$x_\lambda = \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)PTx_\lambda$$

for any $0 < \lambda < 1$. Therefore, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$x_n = \frac{1}{n}p + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)PTx_n$$

which implies that

$$\|x_n - PTx_n\| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\|p - PTx_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since A is compact. On the other hand, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ to converge a element $x^* \in A$. Therefore $x^* = PTx^*$, this is equivalent to $d(x^*, Tx^*) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. The proof is completes. \square

Further generalized results

By using the same method as in the Conclusion 2, we can get the following further generalized results without assume the (A, B) is a semi-sharp proximal pair, and the A is compact.

Theorem 1 Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty, closed subsets of a Banach space X such that A is a p -starshaped set, B is a q -starshaped set, and $\|p - q\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Suppose A is weakly compact. Assume that $T : A \rightarrow B$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) T is a proximal nonexpansive;
- (b) $T(A_0) \subset B_0$. Then there exists an element $x^* \in A$ such that $\|x^* - Tx^*\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

Proof Since A is weak compact, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ to converge weakly a element $x^* \in A$. Noting that, the nonexpansive mapping PE is demi-closed, then $x^* = PTx^*$, this is equivalent to $d(x^*, Tx^*) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. The proof is completes. \square

Theorem 2 Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space with the Opail's condition. Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty, closed subsets of X such that A is a p -starshaped set, B is a

q -starshaped set, and $\|p - q\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Suppose A is bounded. Assume that $T: A \rightarrow B$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) T is a proximal nonexpansive;
- (b) $T(A_0) \subset B_0$. Then there exists an element $x^* \in A$ such that $\|x^* - Tx^*\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

Proof Since A is bounded so it is weak compact, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ to converge weakly to an element $x^* \in A$. Noting that, since X satisfies Opail's condition, the nonexpansive mapping PE must be demi-closed (see Lemma 2 in Opial 1967), then $x^* = PTx^*$, this is equivalent to $d(x^*, Tx^*) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. The proof is complete. \square

Let $g: A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ be an isometry, we replace by $S = g^{-1}PT$ the $S = PT$, respectively in Theorems 1 and 2, we can get the following results which are further generalized forms to the result of Chen et al. (2015, Theorem 3.4).

Theorem 3 Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty, closed subsets of a Banach space X such that A is a p -starshaped set, B is a q -starshaped set, and $\|p - q\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Suppose A is weakly compact. Assume that $T: A \rightarrow B$, $g: A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) T is a proximal nonexpansive;
- (b) $T(A_0) \subset B_0$.
- (c) g is an isometry.

Then there exists an element $x^* \in A$ such that $\|gx^* - Tx^*\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

Theorem 4 Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space with the Opail's condition. Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty, closed subsets of X such that A is a p -starshaped set, B is a q -starshaped set, and $\|p - q\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Suppose A is bounded. Assume that $T: A \rightarrow B$, $g: A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) T is a proximal nonexpansive;
- (b) $T(A_0) \subset B_0$.
- (c) g is an isometry.

Then there exists an element $x^* \in A$ such that $\|gx^* - Tx^*\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

Definition 6 (Chen et al. 2015) Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . The pair (A, B) is said to have the weak P -property if

$$\begin{cases} d(x_1, y_1) = \text{dist}(A, B) \\ d(x_2, y_2) = \text{dist}(A, B) \end{cases} \Rightarrow d(x_1, x_2) \leq d(y_1, y_2).$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in A_0, y_1, y_2 \in B_0$.

By using the well-known Schauder fixed point theorem, we can improve the result of (Theorem 4.1, Chen et al. 2015) to the following generalized result.

Theorem 5 Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty, closed, and convex subsets of a Banach space X such that A is compact. Suppose that A_0 is nonempty and (A, B) has the weak

P-property. Let $T: A \rightarrow B$ be a nonexpansive non-self-mapping such that $T(A_0) \subset B_0$. Then T has at least one best proximity point in A .

Proof In this case, the mapping PT defined in Conclusion 2 is also a nonexpansive mapping from A_0 into it-self. Since A is compact and convex, by using Schauder fixed point theorem, there exists a element $x^* \in A$ such that $x^* = PTx^*$, this is equivalent to $\|x^* - Tx^*\| = dist(A, B)$. This completes the proof. \square

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Shijiazhuang University of Economics, Shijiazhuang 050031, People's Republic of China. ² Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300387, People's Republic of China.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 13 November 2015 Accepted: 6 March 2016

Published online: 15 March 2016

References

- Abkar A, Gabeleh M (2013) A note on some best proximity point theorems proved under P-property. *Abstr Appl Anal* 2013:189567
- Abkar A, Gabeleh M (2013) Best proximity points of non-self mappings. *Top* 21(2):287–295
- AlNemer G, Markin J, Shahzad N (2016) On best proximity points of upper semicontinuous multivalued mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015:237
- Al-Thagafi MA, Shahzad N (2009) Convergence and existence results for best proximity points. *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl* 70(10):3665–3671
- Basha SS (2011a) Best proximity points: optimal solutions. *J Optim Theory Appl* 151(1):210–216
- Basha SS (2011b) Best proximity point theorems. *J Approx Theory* 163(11):1772–1781
- Basha SS, Veeramani P (2000) Best proximity pair theorems for multifunctions with open fibres. *J Approx Theory* 103(1):119–129
- Chen J, Xiao W, Wang H, Deng S (2015) Best proximity point for the proximal nonexpansive mapping on the starshaped sets. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015:19
- Choudhury BS, Metiya N, Postolache M, Konar P (2015) A discussion on best proximity point and coupled best proximity point in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015:170
- Eldred AA, Veeramani P (2006) Existence and convergence of best proximity points. *J Math Anal Appl* 323(2):1001–1006
- Fan K (1969) Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F.E. Browder. *Math Z* 112(3):234–240
- Gabeleh M (2013) Proximal weakly contractive and proximal nonexpansive non-self-mappings in metric and Banach spaces. *J Optim Theory Appl* 158(2):615–625
- Gabeleh M (2013) Global optimal solutions of non-self mappings. *Sci Bull Politeh Univ Buchar Ser A* 75:67–74
- Gabeleh M (2014) Best proximity point theorems via proximal non-self mappings. *J Optim Theory Appl* 164:565–576
- Hussain N, Hezarjibri M, Kutbi MA, Salimi P (2016) Best proximity results for Suzuki and convex type contractions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2016:14
- Kiran Q, Ali M, Kamran T, Karapinar E (2015) Existence of best proximity points for controlled proximal contraction. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015:207
- Kirk WA, Reich S, Veeramani P (2003) Proximinal retracts and best proximity pair theorems. *Numer Funct Anal Optim* 24(7–8):851–862
- Kong D, Liu L, Wu Y (2015) Best proximity point theorems for α -nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015:159
- Kosuru GSR, Veeramani P (2011) A note on existence and convergence of best proximity points for pointwise cyclic contractions. *Numer Funct Anal Optim* 32(7):821–830
- Lovaglia AR (1955) Locally uniformly convex Banach spaces. *Trans Am Math Soc* 78:225–238
- Opial Z (1967) Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings. *Bull Am Math Soc* 73(4):591–597
- Prolla JB (1983) Fixed-point theorems for set-valued mappings and existence of best approximants. *Numer Funct Anal Optim* 5(4):449–455
- Reich S (1978) Approximate selections, best approximations, fixed points, and invariant sets. *J Math Anal Appl* 62(1):104–113
- Samet B (2015) Best proximity point results in partially ordered metric spaces via simulation functions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015:232

- Sankar Raj V (2011) A best proximity point theorem for weakly contractive non-self-mappings. *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl* 74(14):4804–4808
- Sehgal VM, Singh SP (1988) A generalization to multifunctions of Fans best approximation theorem. *Proc Am Math Soc* 102(3):534–537
- Sehgal VM, Singh SP (1989) A theorem on best approximations. *Numer Funct Anal Optim* 10(1–2):181–184
- Shayanpour H, Shams M, Nematizadeh A (2016) Some results on best proximity point on star-shaped sets in probabilistic Banach (Menger) spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2016:13
- Su Y, Gao W, Yao J-C (2015) Generalized contraction mapping principle and generalized best proximity point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015:76
- Sun Y, Su Y, Zhang J (2014) A new method for the research of best proximity point theorems of nonlinear mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2014:116
- Su Y, Yao J-C (2015) Further generalized contraction mapping principle and best proximity theorem in metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015:120
- Su Y, Zhang J (2014) Fixed point and best proximity point theorems for contractions in new class of probabilistic metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2014:170
- Veeramani P, Kirk WA, Eldred AA (2005) Proximal normal structure and relatively nonexpansive mappings. *Stud Math* 171(3):283–293
- Vetrivel V, Veeramani P, Bhattacharyya P (1992) Some extensions of Fans best approximation theorem. *Numer Funct Anal Optim* 13(3–4):397–402
- Zhang J, Su Y, Cheng Q (2013) A note on A best proximity point theorem for Geraghty-contractions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2013:99

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com